	1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	X	
4	DIGITAL MEDIA SOLUTIONS, : Case No. 1:19-cv-00145 :	
5	: Cleveland, Ohio Plaintiff, :	
6	: Monday, March 11, 2019 v. : 2:27 p.m.	
7	SOUTH UNIVERSITY OF OHIO, :	
8	LLC, ET AL., :	
9	Defendants. : X	
10		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF SHOW CAUSE HEARING PROCEEDINGS	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAN AARON POLSTER	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14	And	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. PARKER	
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18	Court Reporter: Donnalee Cotone, RMR, CRR, CRC Realtime Systems Administrator	
19	United States District Court	
20	801 West Superior Avenue Court Reporters 7-189 Cleveland, Ohio 44113	
21	216-357-7078	
22	donnalee_cotone@ohnd.uscourts.gov	
23		
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript	
25	produced by computer-aided transcription.	

1 APPEARANCES: 2 3 (Participants listed are only those who spoke at hearing.) 4 5 For Plaintiff Digital RICHARD S. GURBST, ESQ. 6 Media Solutions, LLC: Squire Patton Boggs, LLP 7 4900 Key Tower 8 127 Public Square 9 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1304 10 216-479-8500 11 richard.qurbst@squirepb.com 12 13 For Plaintiff/Intervenors ERIC J. ROTHSCHILD, ESQ. 14 Emmanuel Dunagan, National Student Legal Defense 15 Jessica Muscari, Network - Washington 16 Robert J. Infusino, 1015 15th Street, N.W., Suite 600 17 Stephanie Porreca: Washington, DC 20085 18 202-734-7495 19 eric@nsldn.org 20 - And -21 RICHARD S. GURBST, ESQ. 22 (See above for address) 23 24 25

		3
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	JAMES A. NEWTON, ESQ.
4	Flagler Master Fund SPC	Morrison & Foerster LLP
5	Ltd.:	250 West 55th Street
6		New York, New York 10019-9601
7		212-468-8000
8		jnewton@mofo.com
9		
10	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	M. COLETTE GIBBONS, ESQ.
11	Studio Enterprise	Schottenstein, Zox & Dunn, Co., LPA
12	Manager, LLC:	US Bank Centre at Playhouse Square
13		1350 Euclid Avenue, Suite 1400
14		Cleveland, Ohio 44115
15		216-621-6501 Ext. 227
16		cgibbons@mcdonaldhopkins.com
17		
18	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	JOHN J. ALTORELLI, ESQ.
19	Studio Enterprise	Aequum Law, LLC
20	Manager, LLC:	555 Madison Avenue
21		New York, New York 10022
22		(917) 664-6607
23		john@aequumlaw.com
24		
25		

		4
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	RICHARD N. SELBY II, ESQ.
4	Thomas J. Perrelli:	Dworken & Bernstein Co., L.P.A.
5		60 South Park Place
6		Painesville, Ohio 44077
7		440-352-3391
8		rselby@dworken-bernstein.com
9		
10	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	MARINA A. AWED
11	Pro Se Marina A. Awed:	P.O. Box 1278
12		Tustin, California 92781
13		310-749-9328
14		mawed@stu.wsulaw.edu
15		
16	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	INGRID A. BOHME, ESQ.
17	Dream Center South	Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.
18	University, LLC:	625 Liberty Avenue, 5th Floor
19		Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-3152
20		412-297-4900
21		ibohme@cohenlaw.com
22		
23		
24		
25		

		5
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	For Plaintiff/Intervenor	KIRK W. ROESSLER, ESQ.
4	Hemingway at Richmond,	Walter & Haverfield LLP
5	LLC:	The Tower at Erieview, Suite 3500
6		Cleveland, Ohio 44114
7		216-781-1212
8		kroessler@walterhav.com
9		
10	For Defendant South	ROBERT T. GLICKMAN, ESQ.
11	University of Ohio, LLC	McCarthy, Lebit, Crystal & Liffman
12	also known as DC South	Co., LPA
13	University of Ohio LLC	101 West Prospect Avenue
14	doing business as	Suite 1800
15	South University:	Cleveland, Ohio 44115
16		216-696-1422
17		rtg@mccarthylebit.com
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		6
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	For Defendant DCEH	CHARLES A. NEMER, ESQ.
4	Education Holdings, LLC:	ROBERT T. GLICKMAN, ESQ.
5		McCarthy, Lebit, Crystal & Liffman
6		Co., LPA
7		101 West Prospect Avenue
8		Suite 1800
9		Cleveland, Ohio 44115
10		216-696-1422
11		can@mccarthylebit.com
12		rtg@mccarthylebit.com
13		
14	For Defendant Argosy	ROBERT T. GLICKMAN, ESQ.
15		(See above for address)
16		
17	For Intervenor Tech	(Via Telephonically)
18	Park 6, LLC:	JOSHUA D. MORSE, ESQ.
19		DLA Piper LLP
20		555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
21		San Francisco, California 94105-2933
22		415-836-2500
23		joshua.morse@dlapiper.com
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)	:
2		
3	For Receiver Mark E.	JAMES W. EHRMAN, ESQ.
4	Dottore, Dottore	MARY K. WHITMER, ESQ.
5	Companies:	Whitmer & Ehrman LLC
6		2344 Canal Road, Suite 401
7		Cleveland, Ohio 44113-2535
8		216-771-5056
9		jwe@WEadvocate.net
10		mkw@WEadvocate.net
11		- And -
12		ROBERT T. GLICKMAN, ESQ.
13		(See above for address)
14		CHARLES A. NEMER, ESQ.
15		(See above for address)
16		
17	For Interested Party	WILLIAM J. STAVOLE, ESQ.
18	3601 Sunflower LLC:	Tucker Ellis LLP
19		950 Main Avenue
20		Suite 1100
21		Cleveland, Ohio 44113-7213
22		216-592-5000
23		william.stavole@tuckerellis.com
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)	:
2		
3	For Interested Party	(Via Telephonically)
4	United States:	JONATHAN E. JACOBSON, ESQ.
5		U.S. Department of Justice
6		Civil Division
7		1100 L Street, N.W.
8		Washington, DC 20005
9		202-353-7971
10		jonathan.e.jacobson@usdoj.gov
11		
12		
13	For the South Family	JOHN D. BECK, ESQ.
14	Trust:	Hogan Lovells US LLP
14 15	Trust:	Hogan Lovells US LLP 875 Third Avenue
	Trust:	-
15	Trust:	875 Third Avenue
15 16	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022
15 16 17	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000
15 16 17 18	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000
15 16 17 18	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000
15 16 17 18 19 20	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Trust:	875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-918-3000

1 AFTERNOON SESSION, MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2019 2 (Proceedings commenced at 2:27 p.m.) 3 DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 4 THE COURT: All right. Everyone can be 14:27:55 5 6 seated. 7 All right. This is Case 1:19-cv-145, Digital Media 8 Solutions versus South University of Ohio, et al. 9 Judge Parker and I set this hearing, a show cause hearing as to whether the receivership should be terminated. 14:28:19 10 11 There have been a number of motions filed urging that. 12 This receivership was created, I think, January 18th 13 or 19th, on an emergency motion that Digital Media Solutions 14 filed. 14:28:44 15 I was actually serving as a visiting Judge in Las 16 Cruces, New Mexico, at the time. I had to deal with this in 17 between sentencing hearings with the help of Judge Boyko. 18 It was represented to me that if I did not act very quickly, 19 thousands of students would be deprived of their education, 14:29:13 20 with the results either the students would lose millions of 21 dollars, and/or the federal government would lose millions 22 of dollars in unsecured loans; that bankruptcy was not a 23 viable option because then, everything would collapse and 2.4 the students would be out and the money would be gone. 14:29:34 25 And that this was the only mechanism to provide what

1	was described to me as a teach-out, which is providing for
2	an orderly concluding of classes for the balance of the
3	semester. And I was convinced that that was the best thing
4	to do, and so I authorized the receivership.
14:29:59 5	Now, there were a lot of things that weren't disclosed
6	to us. Looking back, there were a lot of questions I should
7	have asked, but I can't do anything about the past. I can
8	only focus on the present and what to do going forward.
9	So, obviously, we've got more people here than in my
14:30:26 10	opioid MDL. I didn't think that was possible for one case.
11	So a whole lot of people are here.
12	I've asked representatives from the Department of
13	Education to be here because I had some questions. They're
14	here. I asked some officials from Dream Center to be
14:30:49 15	present because I may have some questions. But I want to
16	start out with the receiver.
17	I want to know, are there any schools still open under
18	the receivership?
19	And if so, what are those schools, and what are the
14:31:05 20	plans?
21	MS. WHITMER: All right. So right now, the
22	law school is open
23	THE COURT: Right. I was aware of that.
24	MS. WHITMER: which is an Argosy school.
14:31:16 25	Las Vegas is open; that is an Art Institute school. Those

1 are minor ones.

The thing that is of acute -- very important is that DCEH, the receivership entity DCEH, supports all of the computer operations, and all of the, as it is called, noncore functions of South University, which has 10,000 students, and Arts Institute, which has about 5,000 students.

So they -- if something happens to DCEH, the 15,000 students that are in South University, 10,000, and Art Institute, 5,000, will not be educated.

THE COURT: All right. And South University and Arts Institute, they're not in the receivership, correct?

MS. WHITMER: That is correct.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Which specific South University campuses are open?

MS. WHITMER: There are two teach-out campuses that are in the receivership. South of Ohio --

THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. I thought -that was my first question, Ms. Whitmer, that -- what
schools in receivership are still open. And you said the
law school, Argosy law school that's in California, and then
Las Vegas Art Institute.

And now you're saying some South University schools in teach-out. That means they must -- if they're in teach-out,

14

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14:31:48 5

14:32:15 10

14:32:31 15

17

16

18 19

14:32:53 20

21

22

2324

14:33:11 25

1	they're in receivership, right?
2	MS. WHITMER: That is correct, Your Honor.
3	I'm sorry.
4	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back.
14:33:23 5	What schools in receivership are still open?
6	We got the law school in California, we've got an Art
7	Institute in Las Vegas, and there are two South University
8	schools?
9	MS. WHITMER: South University, Novi,
14:33:39 10	Michigan.
11	THE COURT: Novi, Michigan. Okay.
12	MS. WHITMER: And South University of Ohio in
13	Cleveland.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Let's stay with those four,
14:33:53 15	because, again, I the purpose of the receivership was to
16	enable a teach-out, right?
17	For most of the schools in the receivership, that's no
18	longer possible. They're closed. So we got four.
19	Now, let's start with these two South University
14:34:16 20	schools.
21	They're in teach-out.
22	MS. WHITMER: They are in teach-out.
23	THE COURT: And specifically, what's
24	happening?
14:34:21 25	MS. WHITMER: The students are, I believe,

1	nursing students, and South University is paying for those
2	teach-outs. So they are doing programming under South
3	University, and completing the educational programs of those
4	students.
14:34:46 5	THE COURT: And where is South I mean,
6	what's the cost here?
7	MS. WHITMER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I
8	don't
9	THE COURT: What's the cost?
14:34:54 10	MS. WHITMER: I don't know.
11	THE COURT: How much does this cost, and where
12	is the money coming from?
13	MS. WHITMER: It's coming from South
14	University, which is not a receivership entity.
14:35:02 15	THE COURT: Well, I thought but the
16	schools
17	So the money is coming from South University, which is
18	not a receivership entity, into two schools that are in
19	receivership?
14:35:17 20	MS. WHITMER: That is correct.
21	THE COURT: Well, they can continue to do it.
22	So South University is paying, and they're not in
23	receivership. All right.
24	And the plans are to the semester ends when?
14:35:34 25	Sometime in May?

1 MS. WHITMER: I do not know.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

14:35:55 5

14:36:11 10

14:36:34 15

14:36:52 20

14:37:13 25

So when you teach-out -- you don't teach-out the semester; you teach-out the program. So what happens is, you take -- so there's a transfer student -- when a school ends, you can transfer the students. And those students transfer the way people used to transfer when we were in school. They had their credits transferred and they became a student of the new university and they graduated from that university.

Or when the students are well along in their academic careers, hopefully you arrange for a teach-out so that the student can complete the student's education at the older institution and get a degree from that institution.

So even though many of our campuses closed, we are negotiating teach-out agreements for our most vulnerable students, which are the doctoral candidates, the master's candidates.

And those two South entities, although, I have not looked at the details of these particular teach-out arrangements, South -- I believe Mr. Pap [sic] from South University is here, and he may be better able to speak to those teach-out plans.

THE COURT: All right. What is happening at the Art Institute in Las Vegas?

MS. WHITMER: So we are still negotiating a

1	transaction with Las Vegas the Las Vegas folks. And
2	Mr. Nemer is here. He is best able to speak to the details
3	of that transaction.
4	THE COURT: Well, since we're on it, who wants
14:37:34 5	to speak to that?
6	MR. NEMER: Your Honor, Charles Nemer.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	MR. NEMER: Your Honor, I've had a number of
9	conversations with the purchasers, as well as counsel for
14:37:46 10	the purchaser. They are ready to enter into a memorandum of
11	understanding along with a manage service agreement along
12	with the transition service agreement, which will allow
13	the entity that would take it over is called Save the Arts
14	Institute of Las Vegas, Limited. It is a Nevada limited
14:38:08 15	liability company.
16	My understanding is that they're trying to work out an
17	agreement with the landlord so that they can remain at that
18	location at the present time. But they are ready to pay all
19	the expenses moving forward with regards to that campus,
14:38:30 20	Your Honor.
21	MR. DOTTORE: Can I add to that, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	MR. DOTTORE: Mark Dottore, the receiver.
24	The State of Nevada is also in partnership with these
14:38:44 25	same folks, as is the City of Las Vegas. They have a plan

14:40:08 25

14:39:28 15

14:39:49 20

14:38:58 5

14:39:15 10

that I've seen to build another facility and use the facility that they already have, if they can make a deal with the landlord.

To prevent recidivism out of the prison system they have there, to train people for the casinos and jobs that aren't minimum wage, they're up -- working wages. And also to train the folks that belong to the restaurant workers unions and that, to train there so that they can go on to greater employment. I think it's a great idea. That's --

THE COURT: Who is the landlord, and is the landlord getting paid?

MR. DOTTORE: Right now, the landlord is not getting paid. But from what I understand, the Save

Las Vegas folks offered to pay the landlord, even the back rent over a period of -- the back rent over a slow period of time, and the go-forward rent going forward from now.

THE COURT: Well, part of the problem -- let me just say, part of the problem is that it's come to our attention that during the term of this receivership, schools have been continuing to operate, and the landlords haven't been getting paid. All right? I don't think that's fair or appropriate. It's got to stop.

So, I mean, one way or another, we got to either close this place, someone has got to buy it, or if it's operating, the landlord needs to get paid.

1	MR. DOTTORE: It has, Your Honor. Not to
2	interrupt you. I'm sorry.
3	It has. We have started to we have several
4	agreements with the landlords in this room to turn their
14:40:18 5	property back to them, take the assets out that we can
6	liquidate and use to pay other bills of the landlord, or
7	other creditors from the landlord. And we've entered into
8	most of those agreements over the weekend.
9	THE COURT: Well, I mean, the point is, the
14:40:31 10	other building there are no schools. So the schools are
11	closed. The landlords can do whatever they want with their
12	buildings.
13	MR. DOTTORE: Correct.
14	THE COURT: But here is one where the school
14:40:43 15	is operating. So all right.
16	Did someone want to speak to this Las Vegas school?
17	MR. MORSE: Yes, Your Honor.
18	This is Joshua Morse from DLA Piper U.S. on behalf of
19	Tech Park 6, LLC. We're one of the intervening landlords,
14:40:59 20	and the landlord for the Las Vegas Art Institute property.
21	I really appreciate you allowing me to appear by
22	telephone. I know that's not your ordinary course.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. MORSE: We've done a number of things.
14:41:15 25	We've sought to intervene, and then also teed up a motion to

have the receiver expedite the decision about, you know, assuming or assigning or rejecting our property, and then also paying rent in the meantime.

We also joined in the -- filed a limited joinder with respect to today's motion.

The reason that I'm appearing -- and even the receiver just a moment ago, continued its false information, and has presented this Court with false information.

On Sunday morning, I woke up to the receiver's -- a new response, which was filed at Docket 134, which was supported by a declaration filed by Mr. William Turbay, who, apparently, is the principal of this Save the Arts Institute of Las Vegas entity.

We were surprised to see an additional response since the receiver had already opposed our joinder. But then as we read what was in the document, we were shocked to read that the receiver was seeking to have our joinder disregarded based on the materially false -- a materially false and misleading declaration. The falsities are in two respects, which are outlined in our -- in our response filed this morning.

Number one, no offer was ever conveyed to actually assume the lease.

And number two, Mr. Turbay absolutely did not propose to cure any arrearages over 24 months, as was stated in his

14:41:37 5

8

1

2

3

4

6

7

14:41:53 10

11

12 13

14

14:42:14 15

16

17 18

19

14:42:34 20

21

22

23

24

14:42:48 25

declaration.

14:44:13 25

14:43:32 15

14:43:46 20

14:43:03 5

14:43:15 10

THE COURT: Well, it's -- all right. What's happened in the past, I can't do anything about. But -
MR. MORSE: Oh, I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- we're not going to have any school operating without the landlord getting paid. All right? That's going to end. It shouldn't have been going on. It's going to end now.

So we got to decide what's going to happen with this Art Institute. If it's going to stay open, then the landlord gets paid going forward. If it's going to close, it will close now. If someone else is going to take it over, they're going to take it over now. So I don't care.

MR. MORSE: Yeah. I understand completely, Your Honor.

For, you know, whatever reason, this response that the receiver filed, you know, purported to just convey materially false information. And I appear to correct the record.

The issue is that this entity that is purporting to potentially take over the location has no financial history, has no, you know, financial wherewithal, has no access to capital, has no ability to provide a security deposit, has no ability to provide a guarantee. Which led my client to not be interested in extending -- or assuming the lease

1 through January of 2025, particularly when it was going to 2 be stiffed for nearly four months of rent, not including all the fees associated with appearing and taking up issues 3 4 with, you know -- before this Court. THE COURT: All right. Look, I've heard -- I 14:44:35 5 6 mean, I had grave reservations two months ago about this 7 Court taking over this case, appointing a receiver. Again, 8 the past is history. I'm dealing with the present. 9 And I should say that Judge Parker has spent an inordinate amount of time overseeing this, because I, 14:44:57 10 11 obviously, didn't have the time to do it. So I have 12 referred it to him, and he and his staff have done 13 incredible work. But this is going to come to an end. I 14 don't think it's a good use of our Court's resources. 14:45:17 15 So, all right. Within a very short time, Las Vegas is 16 either going to be closed -- if it's going to be kept open, 17 the landlord has got to be paid. If the school is going to 18 operated, then the landlord has got to be paid, or someone 19 else is going to buy it. 14:45:35 20 Now, Mr. Dottore, when do you want to bring this to a 21 conclusion, one way or the other? Shut it down, pay the 22 landlord, and keep running it, or transfer it to someone

MR. NEMER: Your Honor, Charles Nemer.

Just to respond to some of the allegations made by

23

24

14:45:50 25

else.

1	Mr. Morse, and I take
2	THE COURT: Who do you represent?
3	MR. DOTTORE: I represent DCH and the
4	receiver. I'm Charles Nemer from McCarthy, Lebit, Your
14:46:02 5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: Well, is someone here for this new
7	entity? All right? This has to be concluded, and I want to
8	know what period of time do you want to either sell this,
9	transfer this to another entity that's going to start paying
14:46:15 10	the bills, close it down, or you're proposing to keep
11	Las Vegas Art Institute open under some terms, which have to
12	include paying the landlord.
13	Mr. Dottore, what you tell me what you want.
14	What's a reasonable time?
14:46:41 15	MR. DOTTORE: 15 days, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Well, I'll consider that when I
17	see what else is involved.
18	MR. MORSE: Your Honor, this is Joshua Morse,
19	again.
14:46:52 20	Just to be clear, will the if we if the
21	receiver's request to continue in possession for the
22	premises for 15 days were granted, would that be premised on
23	the payment of the rent that has been accrued and unpaid
24	since the beginning of the receivership, or just from today
14:47:11 25	forward, through the expiration of those 15 days on a

1 pro --2 THE COURT: It would be today forward, 3 because, quite frankly, I don't know what money the receiver 4 has and whose money it is. Judge Parker asked some poignant questions last 14:47:28 5 Friday. I had a little bit of time. I was sitting in the 6 7 audience, and I didn't think Judge Parker got answers to his 8 questions. I'll just leave it at that. 9 MR. MORSE: Just so the Court is aware, the per diem amount of the rent is approximately \$3,500. And 14:47:43 10 11 that's detailed in our initial motion, which appears at 12 Docket Number 59. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, if I decide I'll 14 let the school stay open, the receiver will pay the \$3,500 a 14:48:03 15 day rent. 16 MR. MORSE: Thank you so much, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: That's an "if." 18 So it's my understanding that South University is 19 paying all the operating bills, including the rent, of Novi, 14:48:21 20 Michigan, and Cleveland. 21 MS. WHITMER: We understand they're paying for 22 the teach-outs. As I understand the rent in Cleveland, 23 there is an agreement -- they are working with the -- with 2.4 the landlord to complete an agreement to return the

building. I don't know --

14:48:38 25

1	THE COURT: How can they return the building
2	if they're teaching the students?
3	MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, I'm diligently
4	working with Tri-C University to take the students to
14:48:50 5	take some classroom space so that South University can teach
6	the students out at Tri-C.
7	One of the deals that I'm trying to make with Tri-C
8	is, there's a bunch of nursing equipment that I would donate
9	to Tri-C for the classroom space.
14:49:08 10	THE COURT: Well, all that is fine,
11	Mr. Dottore. But if students are in some building, you've
12	got to be paying rent to that landlord.
13	MR. DOTTORE: They're not going to be in the
14	building. I made an arrangement with the landlord the other
14:49:22 15	day to be out of building.
16	THE COURT: All right. What about Novi,
17	Michigan? Are students in the building?
18	MR. ROESSLER: Your Honor, may I approach,
19	please.
14:49:30 20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. ROESSLER: Hi, Your Honor.
22	Kirk Roessler from Walter Haverfield. I represent
23	Hemingway at Richmond, LLC. It is the landlord of the South
24	University campus in Cleveland, which I will refer to as
14:49:45 25	South University Cleveland to avoid any ambiguities with

1 respect to the other South University. 2 I just want to make it known to the Court that we have 3 not been paid any rent since the enactment of the 4 receivership. We have had some discussions with the receiver and his 14:49:58 5 counsel over the past couple of days about a termination of 6 7 the lease and a surrender of the premises, and I think that we are close to having that resolved. But as of yet, 8 9 nothing has been --THE COURT: Well, I'm going to conclude this 14:50:18 10 11 now. I mean, you're going to -- the rent is going to be 12 paid, if the school is using the building. If the school is 13 not using the building, then they're out, and you can get 14 your property back, sir. That's what I'm endeavoring to do. 14:50:32 15 Mr. Dottore said they're out. All right? 16 MR. DOTTORE: That's exactly what I said, 17 Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: So there aren't any students. You can do what you want with your premises. You can rent it to 19 14:50:43 20 someone else, sir. 21 MR. ROESSLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. All right. And, again, what are we doing with the 23 2.4 premises in Michigan?

I mean, you got to either get -- if the student are

14:50:59 25

out, they're out, and you tell the landlord they got the
property. If the students are in there, the landlord has to
get paid.
MR. DOTTORE: The same thing, Your Honor. I'm
giving the property back to the landlord and finding another
space to put the students.
THE COURT: All right.
MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Who is the landlord
entity from Michigan?
MR. DOTTORE: One second, Your Honor.
MS. WHITMER: Who is it?
MR. DOTTORE: HEFCO.
MS. WHITMER: HEFCO?
MR. DOTTORE: HEFCO.
MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: H-E-F-C-O?
THE COURT: All right. Well, tell them
they've
MS. WHITMER: HEFCO, H-E-F-C-O, South
University, Novi campus. 41555 12 Mile Road.
THE COURT: All right. Well, tell them
they've got their property back. They can do whatever they
want with it.
MS. WHITMER: Your Honor, the agreements that
we've been making with landlords have asked them to give us
30 days to remove any property or any student records,

identifiable information from the premises.

THE COURT: Well, that's up to them. All right? You haven't been paying rent. So they may or may not -- I suggest if you got student records, you better get those out. Anything else, I don't know. But you're responsible for those records.

All right. That leaves the law school.

MS. WHITMER: I believe the law school was talking to the landlord there. We're trying to get two weeks to get the semester far enough along so that the 3L students and the other students can get credit for this semester. I, frankly, have lost track of where those discussions are.

What's the status about the -- with the law school?

THE COURT: Well --

MR. GLICKMAN: Judge -- sorry -- Rob Glickman.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GLICKMAN: One of the representatives of the secured lenders are here. And in communications with him over the last couple of days, it's my understanding that he's actually extending -- he's actually extending additional funds to allow for the students at the law school to complete this term, which would allow the 3Ls to graduate.

Also --

14:52:32 10

14:52:19 5

12 13

11

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

14

14:52:57 15

16

18

19

17

14:53:09 20

21

23

24

14:53:25 25

1	THE COURT: Well, is someone here who can
2	confirm that?
3	MR. NEWTON: Your Honor, James Newton of
4	Morrison & Foerster on behalf of Flagler, one of the lenders
14:53:43 5	of DCEH.
6	THE COURT: Which lender?
7	MR. NEWTON: Flagler Master Fund, an
8	intervening party.
9	THE COURT: All right.
14:53:51 10	MR. NEWTON: Your Honor, we have been in
11	discussions with the receiver about paying the payroll to
12	keep the law school open.
13	We were under the impression that the school was in
14	discussions with the landlord to deal with that portion of
14:54:04 15	it.
16	THE COURT: Well, I'm just going to make sure
17	they get paid.
18	All right. Look, so it looks like the law school is
19	going to stay open until through the semester?
14:54:26 20	MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge. However, there's a
21	settlement agreement pending before the Court that extends
22	to another party to the case, Studio, through either itself
23	or through its designee to acquire the law school.
24	At our last hearing, I asked Studio if it was still
14:54:46 25	interested. They are here; so they can answer for

1	themselves. They said they were.
2	And there's also a potential suitor that the receiver
3	was speaking with as well to keep the law school ongoing
4	into the future.
14:54:59 5	THE COURT: I was going to ask about Studio.
6	I
7	Yes, sir.
8	I need to know what's happening with this law school.
9	And I want to make sure if they're staying in a premises,
14:55:14 10	that the landlord is paid, and that there are funds to pay
11	the teachers. So
12	MR. NEWTON: I can only speak on behalf of
13	the lender, I can only speak to the payroll that we've been
14	discussing
14:55:29 15	THE COURT: Okay. So the secured creditor is
16	covering payroll for the semester?
17	MR. NEWTON: For two payroll cycles,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Well, what is how long is a
14:55:39 20	payroll cycle?
21	MR. NEWTON: Two weeks.
22	THE COURT: So that would be four weeks?
23	MR. DOTTORE: The law school, the 3Ls will be
24	complete by the end of that payroll those two payrolls.
14:55:54 25	MS. AWED: Your Honor, may I approach.

1	THE COURT: Well, what about the 1Ls and 2Ls?
2	I mean I mean, what about them? I mean, you have 3Ls
3	who'll graduate, but you're going to drop the people in the
4	middle with a month left in the term?
14:56:10 5	MR. DOTTORE: Everyone finishes their term.
6	Everyone will be so when they move to another law school,
7	if this other deal doesn't get consummated, they will be
8	right where they were.
9	MR. GLICKMAN: In other words, Judge, not
14:56:27 10	"right where they were." They will have completed their
11	term. So they'll have credit
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. GLICKMAN: They'll receive credit.
14	THE COURT: They will finish their term. They
14:56:32 15	will finish their term.
16	MR. GLICKMAN: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. That's what I wanted
18	to know.
19	All right. Well, the landlord needs to be covered for
14:56:41 20	this month, too. So I'll direct the receiver to pay the
21	landlord.
22	Who's the landlord?
23	MS. WHITMER: The landlord is the last line on
24	our schedule, and it is CSU Fullerton.
14:56:59 25	THE COURT: All right. Well, if the school is

1	going to stay open for four more weeks, you got to pay them
2	for four weeks.
3	MS. AWED: May I be heard, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
14:57:18 5	You can identify yourself, please.
6	MS. AWED: My name is Maria Awed. I'm the pro
7	se student intervenor from Western State College of Law.
8	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. AWED: So Mr. Dottore stated that I met
14:57:36 10	with him on Saturday, and we discussed the law school. And
11	during the meeting, he said that Michael Lau of Candlewood
12	Investing Group was going to be funding the payroll
13	expenses. We heard today that someone else is funding the
14	expenses.
14:57:51 15	Further, his statement about the 3Ls being able to
16	graduate if we were open for four weeks longer is not true,
17	because it depends on how many units you have. For example,
18	myself, I need 14 units this semester, and I'm enrolled in
19	16. If we close early, I will not have enough units to
14:58:10 20	graduate. It doesn't apply to everyone across the board.
21	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, from
22	THE COURT: No.
23	MR. GLICKMAN: I apologize.
24	MS. AWED: And Mr. Dottore also said that our
14:58:19 25	school is in discussions with the landlord, but that's also

1	not true. My dean said that Mr. Dottore is the one who
2	would know any information about the landlords. And he also
3	said during
4	THE COURT: I've ordered Mr. Dottore will
14:58:31 5	take care of the landlord. I've ordered that.
6	MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, the information I
7	got about them finishing came strict right from the dean.
8	THE COURT: Well, that's
9	MR. DOTTORE: And he's had a conversation with
14:58:40 10	the student and told her that.
11	THE COURT: All right. Well, the
12	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, just to clarify one
13	thing, Mr. Lau is here. He is affiliated with more than one
14	entity. He's in the back of the entity. He's this
14:58:51 15	gentleman's client representative. So it's we didn't
16	identify a new lender and a new party. We didn't realize
17	what funds
18	THE COURT: I've been satisfied that the
19	payroll will be covered for four weeks, and the landlord is
14:59:05 20	going to be paid.
21	Now, ma'am, you know, I don't I mean, the dean has
22	represented that this is sufficient time for everyone to get
23	credits to graduate. So this is the first I'm first I'm
24	hearing of this. So
14:59:20 25	MS. AWED: It's because we would get two

1	thirds of the units we're enrolled in. But everyone has a
2	different amount of units. For some people, staying open
3	for four weeks will allow them to have enough units to
4	graduate. But it doesn't apply to everyone.
14:59:30 5	I need 14 units, and I'm enrolled in 16. If I get two
6	thirds of 16 units, that doesn't get me to the 14 units that
7	I need.
8	THE COURT: I can't you're going to have to
9	take that up with your dean, ma'am. I he's represented
14:59:54 10	to Mr. Dottore and to the Court that this four weeks will
11	take care of the 3Ls. So tell him to make it work. All
12	right?
13	MS. AWED: Okay. But we're going to be open
14	for four weeks?
15:00:05 15	THE COURT: Yes, you're open for four weeks.
16	And you tell him that he's represented to a federal court
17	that that's sufficient. So he's got to make it work for
18	you.
19	MS. AWED: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
15:00:16 20	THE COURT: If he's got a problem, he can
21	contact me.
22	MS. AWED: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: All right. There's this pending
24	settlement with Studio, and there's a hearing next Monday as
15:00:45 25	to, you know there are a couple of objections or issues,

1 and I'm holding a hearing on this next Monday. 2 What will Studio be acquiring in that deal? 3 MS. GIBBONS: Hi, Your Honor -- or good afternoon, Your Honor. Colette Gibbons for Studio. 4 THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Gibbons. 15:01:02 5 MS. GIBBONS: Your Honor, this is a settlement 6 7 between the receiver and Studio that reaffirms agreements 8 that were entered into in December that provided for Studio 9 to provide the backroom, noncore services to these universities. 15:01:21 10 11 Studio is the owner of eight Art Institute -- or is the service provider for eight Art Institute schools. 12 13 Studio is here today, Mr. Altorelli, to answer any questions that the Court has. But we are committed to keeping those 14 15:01:38 15 universities open. And we need the cooperation of the 16 receiver and the use of the intellectual property that the 17 receiver is the owner of or has custody of in order to make 18 that happen. 19 Your Honor, Mr. Altorelli. 15:01:52 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. ALTORELLI: Thank you. 22 First, do you have any questions before I address, you 23 know, some of the issues that we can help with? All we 2.4 really have is our role. 15:02:26 25 THE COURT: Sir, what happens -- who is -- are

1 you acquiring the intellectual property platform that 2 Mr. Glickman talked about? 3 MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I helped negotiate this. 4 If I could explain. THE COURT: All right. 15:02:52 5 MR. GLICKMAN: What's happened was, Studio has 6 7 a servicing agreement with these schools. It's to provide 8 services. Studio doesn't provide services. It does provide 9 them because it contracts with DCEH to do it. We felt that Studio was in breach of our agreement. 15:03:07 10 11 To be fair, they felt that we were in breach of our 12 agreement. And we negotiated a settlement that allows for 13 DCEH to be founded so it can continue to service the Art 14 Institute entities and the South entities, pursuant to the terms of the contract with Studio. 15:03:26 15 16 However, it is the plan that this will only go on for 17 a finite period of time, and Studio will create -- excuse 18 me -- Art Institutes will create its own intellectual 19 property platform, and South will create its own 15:03:44 20 intellectual property platform. 21 But right now, all of that is run out of the DCH 22 facility, and it is hopelessly intertwined. 23 THE COURT: All right. But we can't keep -- I 2.4 mean, my inclination is to end this today. But it looks 15:03:59 25 like we got to extend it for some short period. But it's

1 got to be short. 2 So when is this going to be done? When are you going to -- whatever, create your own IP and take this over. 3 MR. GLICKMAN: Well, one of the provisions of 4 the settlement agreement, actually, if the receivership 15:04:13 5 cannot provide the services, allows Studio to purchase the 6 7 DCEH intellectual property and facilities subject to its 8 lenders' claims. And so if the receiver were to stop, Studio has that option. It is not a requirement in the 9 document, but it is an option. 15:04:35 10 11 And, frankly, if the electricity goes out in 12 Pittsburgh, both of these university systems fail. And 13 just -- they no longer have contact. 14 THE COURT: I don't want that to happen. All 15:04:51 15 right? But I can't make people do anything. All right? So 16 it seems to me that the principal -- the principal remaining 17 asset is this . . . 18 MR. GLICKMAN: Very large building with lots 19 of computers in it. 15:05:11 20 THE COURT: Right. That's it. All right? 21 So --22 MS. WHITMER: We call it the "computer 23 platform." THE COURT: All right. The computer platform 24

needs to be provided, or else a whole lot of institutions

15:05:19 25

1	that aren't in receivership will collapse immediately
2	MS. WHITMER: Yes.
3	THE COURT: with devastating impact on the
4	students, and probably a lot of taxpayer money.
15:05:33 5	MS. WHITMER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right? I don't want that to
7	happen. But I want to set up a mechanism today for a quick
8	transfer of that, or creation by some other entity to do it,
9	because DCEH isn't long for operations.
15:05:47 10	MR. GLICKMAN: It's the only other
11	asset the only other significant asset to the estate is
12	potential litigation, claims that DCEH may have against
13	THE COURT: Well, the bankruptcy court is
14	going to supervise that. I'm not. Okay? This entity is
15:06:01 15	going to go into bankruptcy soon. We all know that. But I
16	don't want this that all these students in
17	non-receivership schools be a casualty. So I want to
18	determine today how are we going to have an orderly
19	transition.
15:06:22 20	So what are we doing with this platform? Does Studio
21	want to buy it now?
22	MS. WHITMER: Your Honor, could I make a
23	suggestion?
24	THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Whitmer.
15:06:35 25	MS. WHITMER: Our receivership really has been

15:08:08 25

15:06:57 5

15:07:25 10

15:07:40 15

15:07:55 20

so busy, and we can't give the Court immediate answers for some of these questions.

Could you give us a period of time, a few days, to sit down with AI and with South and determine how long they need to build their own platforms, or transition us out of the DCEH platform dilemma? And if we could bring to you, based upon IT -- you know, based upon a responsible, professional accounting of how long it will take to transition the -- and bring you a plan of how we're going to get out of the entanglement of South and AI?

MR. ALTORELLI: Your Honor, may I add something to that? Because we've actually worked on this for 11 months.

THE COURT: All right. Again, the Court wasn't apprised of all of this, you know, pending agreement or anything with Studio when this receivership was created. We, Judge Parker and I, only learned about this well after the fact.

MR. ALTORELLI: I know. And it's very unfortunate. And I'm not going to brother recriminating over who did what or however.

There's two schools that are still alive. And if you want to save them, someone should probably talk to the people who spent 11 months figuring it out. A lot of people think they know, and they don't.

THE COURT: These are these -- this is the 1 2 South University in Cleveland and South University --3 MR. ALTORELLI: No. This is South University, 4 the entire South University, and the Arts Institutes. They're not in receivership. 15:08:18 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm trying to 6 7 save those. 8 MR. ALTORELLI: I know you are, and we are 9 too. We've been trying for 11 months. We know what it will take to get these schools on 15:08:25 10 11 their own. We put a detailed plan that we spent millions of 12 dollars to do with numerous professionals who actually know 13 how to do this stuff. And that plan lasted 11 days before 14 we ended up in receivership. 15:08:44 15 Until that plan ended up in receivership, all three 16 schools managed to get on their own payroll -- not on their 17 own pay -- on their bank accounts, and get Title IV funding. 18 If that had not happened, they all would be closed now, not 19 just Argosy. 15:09:02 20 The plan for the IT -- which is all that really 21 remains now. We can stop worrying about the rest -- it's 22 going to take four to six months to unwind. There's 3,000 23 contracts. There's a couple hundred people. There's a huge 2.4 data center in Pittsburgh, 550 some odd servers. You know, 15:09:18 25 it's not an easy lift.

1 Everyone in the world will tell you something; they 2 have no idea. They didn't do the work. We have. 3 And we're happy to have any other third-party 4 consultant come in, because we've hired a bunch, and tell you different, but they won't. 15:09:33 5 So at the end of the day, that's what it's going to 6 7 take. We're prepared to do it. We have signed up to do it. 8 We spent millions of dollars preparing to do it. And we 9 would love the opportunity to finish that plan, because those two plans won't survive without it. So we're here to 15:09:46 10 11 do that. 12 THE COURT: Are those schools paying their 13 bills and paying their landlords? 14 MR. ALTORELLI: They absolutely are. As a 15:09:59 15 matter of fact, that's the only money that comes into this 16 receivership, is from South University and the Art 17 Institutes. Without those two schools --18 THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. I thought 19 that money -- those schools are not in the receivership? 15:10:08 20 MR. ALTORELLI: No. But they pay north of 21 \$3 million, or somewhere around \$3 million a month to the 22 receiver for the transition services. It's all part of the 23 package. Because they own the IT. They have the people. 2.4 And until we can move the people at IT out of DCEH into the

respective universities or Studio, they -- we have no

15:10:25 25

1	choice. The arrangement was set up as a subcontractor
2	arrangement because there was no choice. It will take
3	months.
4	We're two months in, and we're behind schedule because
15:10:39 5	we're spending a lot of time fighting it and telling whose
6	got a better plan.
7	We do need to rejigger the plan now, because we have
8	one school that was supposed to be paying its fair share no
9	longer able to pay. That's a big hole
15:10:55 10	THE COURT: I want to make sure.
11	All the salaries of teachers, the rent to landlord,
12	all the bills are being paid for those schools?
13	MR. ALTORELLI: Yes, they are. Rent,
14	contracts, landlords, all being paid.
15:11:11 15	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: So from your
16	perspective, you indicated that things were on track to do
17	this separation of functions until the receivership got
18	started.
19	From your perspective, what would be the effect upon
15:11:22 20	your ability to continue that if the receivership were
21	terminated within the very near term?
22	MR. ALTORELLI: It may actually be worse. No
23	one is going to want to hear that.
24	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Can you explain
15:11:36 25	that?

1 MR. ALTORELLI: Excuse me? 2 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Explain that. 3 MR. ALTORELLI: Well, because a Chapter 11, 4 which no one may be able to fund, will turn into a Chapter 7. 15:11:43 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Right. 6 7 MR. ALTORELLI: It will liquidate faster than 8 four months, or they won't be able to pay the bills. We 9 need a counterparty on the other side to service us. We'll pay for it. We need help. I don't have the exact plan. 15:11:53 10 11 Give me a couple of days or a week and I will give you a 12 strategy. We did it before. 13 Now that the plan was scuttled, I can figure this out. 14 We'll come out with another plan. And it may involve a 15:12:09 15 Chapter 11 at some point, or 7. It probably will. 16 But I would encourage the Court to give us a little 17 bit of time here to work with the parties who matter. And 18 believe me, no one matters more than the students. So I'm 19 telling you, they're at the top of the list. 15:12:22 20 We need to save these schools. And with respect to 21 the law school, we're also willing to take the law school 22 on. We're willing to take on other campuses. We try to 23 save any campus that we can. Some are too late. But there 2.4 are two great universities that can be saved, and we'd like 15:12:38 25 the shot.

1 If you give us a little time, we'll work with --2 THE COURT: This law school, from what I've 3 read, is a well-functioning law school. It has a pretty 4 decent California bar passage rate, which is the toughest one in the country, and I would like to see it continue. I 15:12:50 5 mean, we provided for four weeks, but --6 7 MR. ALTORELLI: Look, I personally will put 8 the funding together for the law school. That's how I feel 9 about it. So you have a willing party here. We gave the receiver another 30 days to market it, because he asked for 15:13:07 10 11 it. We're willing to stay in there. But we are ready to 12 take the law school. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, part of the problem 15:13:16 15 is that once this agreement was put in place, South 16 University -- this was set up to split these three separate 17 entities, which was a great idea. And I agree with 18 everything Mr. Altorelli just said. It was supposed to be 19 three separate entities. South University is also in conflict with -- they 15:13:30 20 21 don't want to be owned by or controlled by Studio. That 22 wasn't me. That was just -- that came up during the 23 receivership. So they've got their own issues. So all 2.4 three of these people, all I've been saying since the get-go

is, get a platform, and I will transfer the information.

15:13:45 25

1	South is not was not in a position to fund a
2	platform. These platforms could cost millions of dollars.
3	AI, I know, or Studio, has begun to build a platform to
4	transfer it. All I've asked this whole time was, build the
15:14:04 5	platform, and I'll transfer everything off.
6	When I got here, Your Honor, it was supposed to be
7	just South or I'm sorry the entities I had, and EDMC.
8	I was looking for someone to transfer
9	MR. GLICKMAN: DCEH.
15:14:20 10	MR. DOTTORE: DCEH. To transfer.
11	This is three problems old. This is 11 years' worth
12	of debt consumption that everyone left.
13	The day the receivership started, AT&T was going to
14	shut the phones off. There was \$6 million in back payments.
15:14:37 15	Google, 9 million.
16	Other vendors had not been addressed or paid in up to
17	six months.
18	Rents weren't paid. It wasn't like I got there and
19	decided not to pay the rents.
15:14:51 20	Then, I inherited this platform issue, and we've
21	worked that out. The platform cannot be separated and I
22	agree with him in anything under six months. People have
23	come in and said, Oh, we can get this off in four weeks.
24	The documents can get off in three weeks.
15:15:06 25	Once they have their own platforms, we can get the

1	stuff off the platform, and send them all off as independent
2	entities without a manage service agreement.
3	THE COURT: All right. Well, I
4	MR. DOTTORE: Again, I didn't ask for all
15:15:22 5	these people to pile onto this. It was one thing that
6	THE COURT: Well, I understand that,
7	Mr. Dottore. But, candidly, I you know, we didn't know
8	any of this. All right? And if I had, I very well would
9	have done things differently. All right? But I can't
15:15:42 10	change what I did. All I can do is decide what to do going
11	forward.
12	And if it if it you know, so long as all the
13	bills are being paid, then it's not a problem keeping the
14	receivership open. The problem is, all we get is these, you
15:16:03 15	know, motions coming in that, We're not getting paid. We're
16	not getting paid. We're not getting paid.
17	And students coming in that, Our money has been you
18	know, our stipends are gone.
19	The Court's has been overwhelmed with that and
15:16:15 20	figuring out what to do. So I'm putting an end to those
21	things.
22	MS. BOHME: Your Honor, may I address the
23	Court?
24	THE COURT: Yes.
15:16:24 25	MS. BOHME: Good afternoon, Your Honors.

15:17:52 25

15:16:39 5

15:16:56 10

15:17:14 15

15:17:34 20

My name is Ingrid Bohme, representing Dream Center South University, LLC, which is a recent intervenor, and it's the entity that a lot of people today have referred to today as South University.

Dream Center South University, LLC, is the South
University system, which has a number of different campuses.

It is not a receivership entity; it is strictly an intervenor.

THE COURT: Well, yeah. But if this entity went into bankruptcy, that would be the end of you.

MS. BOHME: Yes, exactly, Your Honor. And that's why I'm here today, to reiterate some of the points that these gentleman and Ms. Whitmer have said earlier, which is that there are 10,000 South University students at this functional institution that require these IT assets and services in order to remain in school.

And in addition to that, there's been some talk about the teach-out schools, which South University, my client, has taken on in order to assist those students through their teach-out.

They also are reliant on the IT system.

There's been some talk about how long this would take in order to transition. And given how intertangled all of these assets are -- and this is phone systems. This is e-mail systems. This is access to Microsoft applications.

This is access to student information systems. Online learning platforms. All of these many, many contracts and many different layers of technology that the various institutions had been using for years, now need to be broken out.

And it's my understanding, from my client, that this would take a fair amount of time. I've heard four to six months, at a minimum.

But South University is currently also engaged in efforts to identify ways to move itself to a new platform. And that is something that South University is diligently working on, and it's going to be able to do that and to pay to make that happen.

All we need is for a transitional period to ensure that we have access to the services provided by DCEH at the data center in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

And this is necessary in order to make sure that we don't have nine, ten more receivership or bankruptcy entities in the near future. And more than 10,000 students at South University alone. And people have spoken to Art Institutes having — the viable Art Institute schools having the same issue. And that brings our total above 15,000 students.

It's a concern of ours, and I'm very glad that it's being addressed here today. I know we've raised it in our

15:18:12 5

15:18:25 10

15:18:44 15

15:19:05 20

15:19:20 25

1 intervention papers that were filed last week. But the 2 significance of this, I don't think, can be understated. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, can I be heard on that? 4 THE COURT: Okay. 15:19:36 5 MR. GLICKMAN: Rob Glickman. 6 7 I just want to reiterate. It's not only incredibly 8 important that South and AI are able to get onto their own 9 platforms. But if they don't do it in a cooperative way -for example, if somehow South got on a platform in four 15:19:54 10 11 months and AI didn't, or vice versa, the cost of operating 12 this Pittsburgh platform does not go down if we lose a bunch 13 of students. 14 It's -- I mean, it might go down a little, but it 15:20:11 15 wouldn't go down nearly enough. So this platform transition 16 not only has to be done, it not only has to be done quickly, 17 but it has to be done cooperatively. Because if one entity 18 is more expeditious than the other, it could bring down the 19 other. 15:20:27 20 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Mr. Glickman, just 21 to follow up, on the Art Institute side of the ledger, is 22 the only remaining operational Art Institute the one in 23 Las Vegas? 2.4 MR. GLICKMAN: No, Judge. 15:20:39 25 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Can we find out, is

1	the one in Charleston open?
2	MS. WHITMER: Yes. The only one
3	MR. GLICKMAN: I'm sorry. Are you asking if
4	the only AI receivership entity is Las Vegas?
15:20:51 5	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: On the AI side.
6	MR. GLICKMAN: In the receivership, yes. I
7	misunderstood your question.
8	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. So all
9	of the AI receivership entities are closed, except for
15:21:01 10	Las Vegas.
11	MR. GLICKMAN: This is more Ms. Whitmer's area
12	than mine. So I'm going to defer to her.
13	MS. WHITMER: The law school and Las Vegas.
14	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Law school is in
15:21:13 15	Argosy. I'm talking about the AI school.
16	MS. WHITMER: The AI schools, there are three
17	excluded campuses. They were Pittsburgh, which is closed,
18	Seattle, which is closed, and now, Las Vegas.
19	So, yes. The answer to your question is yes, Las
15:21:26 20	Vegas is
21	MR. DOTTORE: Judge Parker, Mark Dottore.
22	There were several other AIs that were closed before
23	we got there, though.
24	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Understood. We know
15:21:36 25	that now.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

15:23:19 25

15:22:46 15

15:23:00 20

15:22:01 5

15:22:24 10

MS. WHITMER: Your Honor -- Your Honors, we did file in our supplemental reports, a schedule -- the financial report, a schedule of the campuses going forward.

So it envisions -- and Mr. Linscott is here, the chief financial officer of the receiver is here, and can discuss that schedule. And it shows that we can make the payments. It shows that, you know, the payments -- the money will be coming from, what I call, new South and new AI, which is what South and AI looked like after the Studio deal.

So new South and new AI will make these payments, and the data center can keep on going. And we have run numbers that indicate that the expenses and the revenue, we've got it right sized now.

We've made a number of reductions in force, and we're entering into new contracts and so forth so that this place can run at the right number.

And Mr. Linscott is here if you have any questions about that.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: I think the question that Judge Polster has been trying to get at all day is, whether there are current expenses for any still operating schools that are not being paid, current operating expenses. And we're being told that arrangements were being made to cover landlord fees for any buildings where educational activity is going on.

1	And we need to know whether people are going to come
2	back to the Court saying, I'm not being paid, or I'm not
3	being paid from this point forward. And it sounds, from
4	what you're representing to us, that the answer to that
15:23:33 5	question is, everyone, you know, current operating building
6	is going to be or have their charges paid.
7	MS. WHITMER: That is correct.
8	THE COURT: All right. Well, that has
9	been I had resolved that I was going to that going
15:23:47 10	forward, that was going to happen, or some major changes
11	would be made.
12	All right.
13	MR. BECK: Your Honor, may I be heard?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15:23:59 15	MR. BECK: Your Honor, John Beck of Hogan
16	Lovells on behalf of the South Family Trust.
17	THE COURT: South Family Trust? What is that,
18	sir?
19	MR. BECK: Yes, Your Honor.
15:24:08 20	So the South University used to be owned by the South
21	family until 1993. And John South, who is in the courtroom
22	today, was its chancellor for 40 years.
23	I rise briefly I realize at the risk of adding more
24	complexity to the hearing today. But I want to rise briefly
15:24:24 25	to address the IT platform issue, and just put

15:25:38 25

15:24:40 5

15:24:54 10

15:25:07 15

15:25:23 20

Your Honor, make you aware, and also make the receiver aware, that the South Family Trust is willing -- obviously, subject to working out the details -- to purchase the IT platform, and enter into servicing agreements to help transition South to a more viable place, if the receiver is not able to do that.

So I just rise to make Your Honor aware of that. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, we've got Studio that's willing -- that says they're doing it.

MR. ALTORELLI: Your Honor, that is a horrible idea, and I just want to go on the record.

We've been through enough of this with people intervening to trying to break up, you know, a very thorough and thoughtfully crafted play.

They're not an owner. They're not an operator. They are interested in buying the school. We're welcome to having them do so. But right now, we need to get the two schools that need these services provided by the receiver. And if the receiver doesn't want to do it, the two schools, with Studio's help, are willing to do it themselves. They don't need another party.

THE COURT: I appreciate that offer. But there is a -- there's a pending deal in place subject to Court approval next Monday, to -- that Studio is going to be

doing that.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

15:25:51 5

15:26:09 10

15:26:26 15

15:26:37 20

15:26:50 25

MR. ALTORELLI: Your Honor, that's not what it says. It says if they cannot provide the services, then we have the option to purchase the assets.

I do not believe, and I don't think the receiver or any party that knows anything about the IT would think that it would be a good idea to take the IT out of DCEH. The cost will be enormous. And if you turn off those 500 servers and try to turn them back on, they may not work.

It's a horrible idea, and we need to really be careful. This is -- we're stuck with a situation no one likes. I don't like it either. But we've thought about this very carefully for a long time. They have to stay in place. We're prepared to pay them to stay in place.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ALTORELLI: If they do that, and they don't continue to attack our contracts and our services and our ability to do so, I believe we can work this out and keep two schools alive.

But we got to keep the rest of the world from coming in and saying that they can do it better. Because if they want to do it better, then write a check that -- and take us out for the \$10 million that we've already spent, and fund all these schools. And there is no one coming to do that, and that is the problem. We've done it.

1 MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, Rob Glickman. That provision that you're looking at was really kind 2 3 of a -- it was put in by Mr. Altorelli and myself almost as 4 in the case of last resort --MR. ALTORELLI: It's just to make sure that if 15:27:03 5 6 you don't -- are not there, we can take it over. I don't 7 want it. 8 MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, Mark -- Your Honors. 9 I'm sorry -- Mark Dottore. THE COURT: Yes. 15:27:11 10 11 MR. DOTTORE: The system is extremely 12 antiquated, which is pretty funny when you figure it's only, 13 like, 12 years old. 14 So part of the problem is, these people that will move 15:27:21 15 off to these other systems, such as Studio and AI, will move 16 on to more efficient, smaller, faster systems than that is 17 provided. There's 55 people alone it takes just to run this 18 IT, which is way too many people. 19 MR. ALTORELLI: It was sized for a \$2 billion 15:27:37 20 revenue business, 170,000 students, 60, 70 campuses. Now, it's running, 12, 18. It's just the wrong tool, but we 21 22 don't have a choice. I just implore people to stop fighting 23 about this stuff. Let us run it for four or five months and 2.4 be done. 15:27:55 25 THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what

1 we're going to do. 2 It will run -- I mean, again, my problem was continuing this receivership while current operating 3 4 expenses weren't being paid. And that, to me, was not fair. So we've ended that. 15:28:07 5 MR. ALTORELLI: Your Honor, there's a couple 6 7 of pieces along with that, and I just want to point them 8 out. Because if we're going to try to solve this, we should 9 try to solve it all. We do need to work with the receiver, figure out what 15:28:17 10 the go-forward payroll is. And there's been some payroll 11 12 that hasn't been paid. And we need to really make sure we 13 have an understanding --14 THE COURT: Payroll for what, sir? 15:28:25 15 MR. ALTORELLI: For DCEH. That is where 16 the -- resides all these people. 17 THE COURT: Well, the only payroll -- the only 18 payroll there is is this IT center, or whatever, right? 19 MR. GLICKMAN: It has well over 100 employees, 15:28:38 20 Judge. 21 THE COURT: Well, I thought you said it had 55 22 people? 23 MR. GLICKMAN: No. That's just to operate the 2.4 IT that we're talking about. 15:28:45 25 MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, there's folks that

1	Mr. Altorelli and I are trying to sort out right now.
2	There's people that the Government that you have to have
3	in place for the Department of Education for compliance,
4	Treasury.
15:28:56 5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. DOTTORE: So there's about 100 people. 55
7	of them are in IT alone.
8	THE COURT: Well, again, that's what you've
9	got to figure out. All right? I mean, you know, the
15:29:09 10	people you need the people who you have to have to run
11	the program, and deal with the federal funds, which are
12	still coming in, because these schools are operating. And
13	they haven't been put on the DOE is sending funds for
14	these schools; is that right?
15:29:31 15	They're providing, you know, Government-guaranteed
16	loans for students, correct?
17	MR. DOTTORE: Yes, that would be correct.
18	THE COURT: All right. Well, then, obviously,
19	you need the people who know now to process that money and
15:29:40 20	make sure it's handled properly and we don't have a problem
21	like what we have, where
22	I'm going to just I've got some questions for the
23	DOE people. Trying to determine how it happened that
24	stipend money didn't go to the students.
15:29:56 25	All right. So I think Judge Parker and I are

1 convinced that we need to keep this receivership in place for four to six months so that either Studio will take over 2 3 this IT platform, or a new one will be built to support the 4 schools that -- I guess that Studio is going to be running. MR. DOTTORE: Well, to be clear, Your Honor, 15:30:29 5 South wants to be on their own. Studio and AI want to be on 6 7 their own. 8 THE COURT: Well, whatever. Then South -- how 9 is South going to -- what platform is South going to have to run their schools? Are they going to build their own? 15:30:42 10 11 MR. DOTTORE: I think their representative, I believe, Your Honor -- Your Honors. I'm sorry -- stood up 12 13 and said that they are working on a platform as we speak. 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, they need 15:30:51 15 to have their own platform to run their schools. 16 MR. ALTORELLI: Your Honor, can I just -- so 17 look, it's very simple. They can do whatever they want. 18 There's a 60-day termination provision in our agreement. 19 Anytime South wants us out, they can send us notice and 15:31:04 20 we're out. So no one is locked to Studio. It's a voluntary 21 arrangement. Anybody who wants us out, just send us the 22 notice. 23 Until then, we tend to provide the services. Because 2.4 we don't believe either of them could get off, despite their

own wishes to the contrary, for at least four to six months.

15:31:20 25

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, may I be heard? 3 THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROTHSCHILD: Eric Rothschild on behalf of 4 the student intervenor, Dunagan plaintiffs. 15:31:30 5 I think it was -- my understanding from Your Honor is 6 7 that you're ready for the receivership to enter. Because 8 absent this platform issue, it really wasn't going to be 9 doing more good than harm. This reason for having a receivership was not the 15:31:45 10 11 reason the receivership was asked for in the first place. 12 That doesn't make it unimportant, but it's not clear to me 13 what is different about the people employed by the receiver versus the people at Studio, in terms of running an 14 15:32:01 15 operation with these 55 or 100 legacy employees; nor why this same thing couldn't occur in bankruptcy as well as it 16 17 does for receivership. 18 And I guess there's a guestion here, then. If it is 19 decided that the receiver is going to continue to manage 15:32:17 20 this platform for four or six months or longer, does that 21 mean that everything else is going -- and all the other 22 issues that I know Your Honor is aware of, including the 23 stipends and the consent judgment, are they all then going 24 to be continued to be managed by a receiver. 15:32:33 25 And, obviously, we've articulated some objections to

1 that. 2 THE COURT: No. I'll probably have to put it 3 all to a bankruptcy court. I'm not going to -- you know, 4 it's not for Judge Parker and I to be dealing with this. I've got a few questions -- I've got some Department 15:32:45 5 of Education people. I'd like someone to explain to me --6 7 Well, before I forget, there was an emergency motion, 8 Mr. Dottore, that you filed for an order authorizing you to 9 enter into four articulation agreements with TCS Education System. And these are four Argosy schools that have closed 15:33:09 10 11 down, right? They've closed? 12 MS. WHITMER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: They were in the receivership? 14 MS. WHITMER: So we have closed these Argosy 15:33:20 15 campuses. In doing that closure, what we did was, we tried 16 to wind them down in an orderly fashion, as orderly as could 17 be done when there was a total lack of funds. 18 So we arranged for students to attend what is called 19 transfer fares. Different schools put up tables and take 15:33:44 20 students on the campuses. 21 And then we are entering into partnership with other

These are transfer agreements that we would like to enter. We probably have another 15 different transfer

parties who will take transfer students and who will

teach-out. TCS is a school in Chicago.

22

23

2.4

15:34:01 25

1	agreements to put on with the Court. Partly so that we can
2	notify people watching the docket what their various options
3	are as students that are caught in the closure of Argosy
4	University.
15:34:22 5	So TCS also has indicated that they will offer
6	teach-out to the it's not a Ph.D. It's a PSYD, a
7	psychology doctorate of clinical psychology so that
8	those students can complete the ones that are advanced
9	into their doctoral candidates can completed
15:34:48 10	THE COURT: All right. What I'm trying I
11	mean, the motion says, Well, people have such and such time
12	to object
13	MS. WHITMER: Yes.
14	THE COURT: until March the question is:
15:34:56 15	Aren't these things that have to be done immediately?
16	I mean, people need to know what school they're going
17	to go to.
18	MS. WHITMER: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And I'm not sure if I mean,
15:35:05 20	Judge Parker and I aren't telling anyone where to go to
21	school. I mean, Argosy shut down. These students have to
22	find somewhere else.
23	Do they need Court approval to go somewhere else?
24	MS. WHITMER: If the Court does not want us to
15:35:19 25	put these on the record, that's fine.

1 THE COURT: Well, I'm just trying to 2 understand, Ms. Whitmer. 3 MS. WHITMER: All we're seeking is the 4 approval of the Court to enter into these contracts. It's really not an ordinary course item because, obviously, the 15:35:29 5 closure of a school is an extraordinary item. So we are 6 putting in front of the Court those various transfer and 7 8 articulation agreements for the Court's own review, so that 9 you know what we're doing in trying to transition students to other alternatives and to other universities. 15:35:50 10 11 MR. DOTTORE: What they did, Your Honor --12 Mark Dottore. 13 What they did, Your Honor, was, we went to transfer 14 partners that could match -- especially in this clinical 15:36:03 15 PSYD program, what it did was, these people are now moving 16 into internships, and they have things they need to do in 17 clinicals. So what we did was, we went to a partner and had 18 them match as many matching credits as we could. 19 You're correct, Your Honors, you can not tell a 15:36:20 20 student where to go to school. But if we made it as easy a 21 transition as possible. And almost the majority of them 22 have accepted these deals. 23 THE COURT: I think that's very good work of 2.4 the receiver.

I'm just saying, is this something that requires Court

15:36:32 25

1	approval? And if so if some other school says, Look, you
2	know, we're willing to take Argosy students, and, you know,
3	apply the you know, the remainder of tuition, and it's
4	clear that the money being transferred I mean, that may
15:36:51 5	require court approval because you're transferring are
6	you transferring money that went from the Department of
7	Education to Argosy for tuition to these other institutions?
8	MS. WHITMER: No.
9	MR. DOTTORE: No, Your Honor. They're going
15:37:07 10	on these other folks' Title IV money. They have their own
11	Title IV. They're going there. They're taking those
12	students
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm just trying to
14	determine why you think it needs our approval.
15:37:18 15	MS. WHITMER: Your Honor, we I'm sorry.
16	I'm sorry we would be delighted not to put them of
17	record. I mean, it would save you know, it would save
18	drafting, filing.
19	THE COURT: Well, let me I've got
15:37:27 20	the this is out of my pay grade. I've got someone from
21	the Department of Education.
22	A
23	Is this something that requires Court approval?
24	I mean, if you tell me it does
15:37:40 25	MR. FROLA: Your Honor, this is Mike Frola

from the Department of Education.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

15:39:07 25

15:38:34 15

15:38:51 20

15:37:58 5

15:38:16 10

Generally speaking, the teach-out arrangements are -from an institution that closes is -- that is approved by
the accrediting agency and the state agencies. And then, if
it requires that the student transfers, they become under
the new school, and they're responsible for that student.

THE COURT: Well, that's what I thought. It's an educational matter, not a -- I mean, that's what it seems to me. It's -- the accrediting agencies would handle it.

MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, Mark Dottore.

From all the conversations we've had before we entered into these agreements, we made sure that they had gone to their accreditors and to the -- I think it's ACIS that does the accreditation for this clinical psychology programs.

Mr. Ehrman has talked to the ABA in California, and the ABA is -- I guess he could finish telling you what we've done to make sure that that will work.

MR. EHRMAN: Your Honor, James Ehrman, one of the counsel for the receiver.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Ehrman.

MR. EHRMAN: I was on the phone with the dean of the law school, and the point person for the American Bar Association who accredits the law school. And we were working through the issues of how do we, you know, complete the -- essentially, the semester for the law student.

1 As Ms. Awed said, ordinarily, it would take longer. 2 We're working with them to -- with the ABA to change the 3 requirements at the law school for number of hours in the 4 classroom so that these two pay cycles will be sufficient for the 3Ls to graduate with full accreditation. 15:39:26 5 This is one of the things where it just goes on and 6 7 people have partial information and we do it. But 8 that's --9 THE COURT: I'm just trying to save everyone a lot of time and work in filings. And I don't think that you 15:39:40 10 11 need anyone's approval -- that the school needs anyone's 12 approval to work out articulation agreements with other 13 institutions. 14 MR. EHRMAN: And in that sense, Your Honor -to finish up with the ABA, it's so that the Court knows so 15:39:58 15 16 that everyone is there. 17 In bankruptcy -- where I've spent most of my 18 life -- you do things out of what we call an abundance of 19 caution, because there are people in this room, there are 15:40:12 20 people on the phone who just object to everything because 21 it's in their pressure point to be able to do it. 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to go cut that 23 off, too. All right? 2.4 MR. EHRMAN: Yes. Yes. 15:40:21 25 THE COURT: I'm a bottom line person,

1 Mr. Ehrman. And I don't think --2 MR. EHRMAN: All right. And we don't want the 3 Court to be surprised, either, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. I'm determining that Court approval is not needed for an articulation agreement. 15:40:29 5 MR. DOTTORE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: So the receiver may -- so this 8 emergency motion, I'll just say it's denied as moot, because 9 Court approval is not needed for these articulation agreements or any other. And I hope you're able to 15:40:44 10 11 accomplish them for as many students as possible. 12 MS. WHITMER: Wonderful. Thank you, 13 Your Honor. 14 We have 15 back at the office we can sign up today. 15:40:56 15 THE COURT: All right. Now, I have -- the 16 people from the Department of Education, can you explain to 17 me, when Title IV funding comes to a school, how does it 18 work? I mean, how does -- you've got money that covers 19 tuition, and you've got money that students are allowed a 15:41:22 20 stipend for living expenses. 21 I'd like someone to explain to me how the money flows 22 and what paperwork the school needs to provide and how the 23 money is supposed to be treated when it goes to the school. 2.4 MR. JACOBSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 15:41:37 25 This is Jonathan Jacobson. I'm a trial attorney at the

1	Department of Justice on behalf of the United States.
2	THE COURT: Right.
3	MR. JACOBSON: I'm here with a couple of other
4	folks, including the assistant director of the corporate
15:41:49 5	financial litigation section, Lloyd Randolph.
6	I'm also here with three representatives of the
7	Education Department, one of whom you've already heard from.
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MR. JACOBSON: Donna Mangold, Steve Finley,
15:42:01 10	and Michael Frola.
11	THE COURT: I very much appreciate DOJ's
12	participation at the Court's request, and the Department of
13	Education personnel, on very short notice.
14	Basically, I'm asking these questions because I don't
15:42:16 15	know. And so I'd like to figure out I'm going to ask the
16	people who know because this is what you do.
17	MR. JACOBSON: Right, Your Honor.
18	And so Michael Frola, who is the director of the
19	MR. FROLA: Multi-regional and foreign school
15:42:35 20	division.
21	MR. JACOBSON: of the Department of
22	Education is going to be able to answer at least some of the
23	questions.
24	THE COURT: And, I think, Mr. Frola, you're
15:42:43 25	the person who sent the February 27th letter to the

1	receiver
2	MR. FROLA: Correct, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: and the former officer
4	regarding the termination of funds for Argosy?
15:42:54 5	MR. FROLA: Correct, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I'm just saying that
7	because some people in the room might not be aware of that.
8	Okay. Can you just explain how the Title IV money
9	flow works?
15:43:06 10	MR. FROLA: Sure.
11	So Dream Center Education has been under what's been
12	called "heightened cash monitoring" since 2007 because of
13	the financial responsibility standards.
14	THE COURT: Right.
15:43:16 15	MR. FROLA: They haven't met their metrics.
16	So under that premise, since 2015, the method of
17	payment requires that they return if they draw money down
18	for a student, they have to before they draw that money
19	from the department, they have to pay that credit balance to
15:43:33 20	the student. And then require the money
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Hold on it. Slow
22	down.
23	Before
24	MR. FROLA: Sure.
15:43:37 25	THE COURT: Before they draw money they've

1 got to pay the student before they -- and then they've got 2 to ask you -- "you" being DOE -- for reimbursement? 3 MR. FROLA: Yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. And this is stipend money, tuition money, or both? 15:43:52 5 MR. FROLA: It's both. 6 7 So, for example, if the school says a student is -- so 8 we borrowed and received grant money for \$10,000, and 9 tuition and fees were 8,000, that \$2,000 difference is a stipend. And that money has to be reported on the student's 15:44:10 10 account card, and that 2,000 has to be paid to that student. 11 12 And then once that happens, then they can pull down that 13 money. 14 THE COURT: All right. So this is the -- this 15:44:24 15 is the stipend differential, the difference between the 16 gross amount of the borrowing and the tuition that goes into 17 the student's stipend account? 18 MR. FROLA: Yes. And that 2,000 is used for 19 living expenses, or whatever other needs the student needs 15:44:42 20 to survive on. 21 THE COURT: All right. So the school has to 22 certify. All right. 23 Student Polster, tuition is \$10,000 -- tuition is 2.4 \$10,000. Student's borrowing \$12,000. The school has to 15:45:01 25 certify that they've given me the \$2,000, and then they can

1	go to DOE and say, I've certified that I've given Student
2	Polster his \$2,000 stipend; now, give me the \$2,000, and
3	then you do that?
4	MR. FROLA: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor.
15:45:17 5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. FROLA: And just to clarify, the schools
7	were operating under a Heightened Cash Monitoring 1, which
8	is a lesser oversight.
9	THE COURT: All right.
15:45:25 10	MR. FROLA: So they basically would
11	self-certify that they're doing that as part of the
12	regulations, and it requires administrative capability. And
13	then under that, we released approximately, just for Argosy
14	University, about 9.2 million on January 15th, and
15:45:41 15	2.8 million at the on January 29th.
16	So some portion of that fund, as we just discussed,
17	would have been for stipends.
18	So and then at the end of January, of course,
19	we when we heard that there was a lot of issues, we put
15:45:58 20	the schools on Heightened Cash Monitoring 2, and we notified
21	the school in a letter. And under that method, it's a
22	higher level of oversight.
23	And so what would happen there is not the students
24	would get paid still, first by the school, and then they
15:46:16 25	request reimbursement. But for that process, they would

1	have to we would do a final analysis of the student
2	account, and verify that not only was the money paid to the
3	student, but they did everything else, the students were
4	eligible. And that's a lot greater delay.
15:46:31 5	Since we've put the schools under Heightened Cash
6	Monitoring 2, they have not requested any funds from the
7	department.
8	And just to clarify, the certificate is not specific
9	as to the for the credit balances when they're under
15:46:50 10	Heightened Cash Monitoring 1.
11	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Was it only the
12	Argosy schools placed on HCM2, or all the DCEH entities?
13	MR. FROLA: All the DCEH entities.
14	THE COURT: So that includes the AI
15:47:02 15	International schools and the Dream Center South schools?
16	MR. FROLA: No. AI International the South
17	University and the three campuses that went with them. The
18	AI schools have been operating under the Heightened Cash
19	Monitoring 1 method, and they have been meeting the
15:47:18 20	standards that we require of them and we have been paying on
21	them.
22	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right.
23	THE COURT: So Art and South have been okay,
24	but it was Argosy that wasn't?
15:47:34 25	MR. FROLA: From our yes, Your Honor.

1	From our understanding, the stipends and we
2	received you know, when we first started hearing that
3	students were not receiving their stipends towards the end
4	of January, we reached out to the receiver. He sent us the
15:47:50 5	documentation to show that there was approximately 16
6	million in unpaid stipends at that time.
7	THE COURT: And, Mr. Dottore, you determined
8	that at the end of January?
9	MR. DOTTORE: No, Your Honor. No, Your Honor.
15:48:16 10	THE COURT: All right. Well, all right.
11	Mr. Fiola [sic], when do you say you heard from
12	Mr. Dottore that there was \$16 million in unpaid stipends?
13	MR. FROLA: I received a thing on
14	February the 7th, the documentation. It not only provided
15:48:49 15	an overall chart, it actually gave us a student-by-student
16	listing.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	So, Mr. Dottore, that was February 7th? I misspoke,
19	then.
15:48:58 20	MR. DOTTORE: Yes, Your Honor.
21	We also sent a letter to the department which we also
22	filed in one of our reports with the Court.
23	THE COURT: All right. And the \$16 million,
24	Mr. Dottore, in unpaid stipends, this was did you
15:49:14 25	determine for what period of time over what period of

	1	time the money was received from DOE?
	2	MR. DOTTORE: I'm going to let my CFO speak to
	3	that. Because after we became alerted that the student
	4	stipends weren't paid, before we sent the paperwork on, we
15:49:36	5	started to do an investigation. And he did the
	6	investigation. That's Mr. Linscott.
	7	THE COURT: All right.
	8	MR. LINSCOTT: Dave Linscott from Dottore
	9	Companies.
15:49:46	10	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Linscott.
	11	MR. LINSCOTT: When we arrived in Pittsburgh
	12	after our appointment, we began to look at a cash flow
	13	model, a business determining if the business was viable
	14	to continue.
15:50:01	15	As we were putting together our cash flow models, we
	16	started asking questions about, How does your revenue come
	17	in? When does the Title IV money come in? Are we going to
	18	have enough cash to make it through next week and the rest
	19	of the semester?
15:50:20	20	And it was explained to us at that time, that there
:	21	were 28 to \$30 million of funding available at the
:	22	department.
:	23	Of that 28 to 30 million, there was 13 to 16 million
:	24	of stipends that would have to be paid out of those funds.
15:50:42	25	So at that point in time, we associated this large

1 stipend balance to future funding requests. 2 We later, a couple of weeks later, as we continued to 3 investigate this, because the receiver was asking me, The 4 department says these stipends were already paid. The stipends were already paid. Get to the bottom of it. 15:51:15 5 As I began to dig into that, we found out that the 13 6 7 million of outstanding stipends related to periods November, 8 December, January, pre-receiver, and that these amounts had 9 been growing for the past several months. THE COURT: So you're saying you found the \$13 15:51:40 10 11 million of stipends that the schools had represented to DOE 12 as having been paid to students, in fact, were not paid to 13 students? 14 MR. LINSCOTT: Yes. 15:52:06 15 THE COURT: Were you able to determine where 16 the money went? 17 MR. LINSCOTT: I have not tried to trace 18 dollars and perform a --19 THE COURT: Well, did it appear to go into the school's operations? Or did you find money going --15:52:23 20 21 MR. LINSCOTT: It appeared to go --22 THE COURT: -- you know, going, I'll say, out 23 to individuals or non-school entities? 2.4 MR. LINSCOTT: One of our challenges was, the 15:52:38 25 financial reporting accounting group at the schools had left

1	at the end of December. So there were no accounting people,
2	no financial reporting people in place when the receiver
3	arrived and was appointed.
4	Just and I haven't looked into this, but the money
15:53:03 5	went
6	THE COURT: All right. So you couldn't tell?
7	You don't know where it went?
8	MR. LINSCOTT: That's correct.
9	THE COURT: All right. You just know it
15:53:14 10	was that the school had represented to DOE that they had
11	paid it to the they had paid the stipends to the student,
12	which they're required to do. The money came in from
13	DO it did come in then from DOE, but, in fact, the money
14	hadn't gone to the students?
15:53:33 15	MR. LINSCOTT: Correct.
16	THE COURT: All right. And it was November,
17	December, and the first part of January before the
18	receivership. All right.
19	All right. Mr. Dottore, you told the DOE on
15:53:54 20	Mr. Frola on February 7th, that there were 16 million in
21	unpaid stipends.
22	Is that the 13 million plus the 2.8 that came in
23	January 29th? Or I'm just trying to get the discrepancy
24	between Mr
15:54:10 25	MR. DOTTORE: At the time, I believed it was

1	\$13 million. I was told or to the best of our ability to
2	inform this. You have to understand, Your Honor, we had to
3	go to people who were nonfinancial people to try and piece
4	this together.
15:54:25 5	MR. LINSCOTT: Your Honor, the 13 million did
6	not include the stipends due to the law school students. So
7	as we dug into this, the number kept growing because it
8	didn't include the law school, and that was another
9	3 million.
15:54:43 10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you found
11	another 3 million that was owed to law schools?
12	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, Rob Glickman.
13	I don't mean to interrupt.
14	Dave Linscott is a CPA and a certified fraud examiner.
15:54:57 15	He's as diligent in this type of investigation as anyone
16	I've worked with.
17	I will tell you, this really is going to require a
18	deep-dive forensic accounting. It's
19	THE COURT: Oh, I'm well aware of that. I
15:55:10 20	and I'll need to decide what to do.
21	MR. GLICKMAN: I'm very nervous to try to tell
22	the Court one thing when we really haven't conducted a full
23	investigation.
24	THE COURT: No. I've got the information.
15:55:19 25	Mr. Linscott determined that representations had been

1	made that stipends had been paid. Those representations
2	were made to DOE. He determined that, in fact, the stipends
3	had not been paid. He found \$13 million, and then he found
4	another, roughly, \$3 million in stipends that should have
15:55:38 5	gone to law students. That's the 16 million that
6	Mr. Dottore reported.
7	All right. We have present we've got Mr. Burton,
8	who is a I don't know who we have in person who were
9	former officers, employees of DCEH.
15:56:13 10	Who do we have present?
11	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, Rob Glickman, again.
12	Randy Barton is here.
13	Before we move on to the next topic, we should point
14	out that we are doing everything to preserve the
15:56:26 15	information, and working with the Office of the Inspector
16	General to make sure that a full investigation can move
17	forward.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
19	Mr. Glickman. I assumed that was the case.
15:56:34 20	All right. Mr. Barton, are you here?
21	MR. BARTON: Yes, Your Honors.
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Barton, what was
23	your position at you were a director?
24	MR. BARTON: I was chairman of the board, yes,
15:56:58 25	sir.

1	THE COURT: All right. And I looked at my
2	notes. You were on the phone call that I had when the
3	receiver filed their emergency motion. You were the what
4	I'll call the client representative of DCEH.
15:57:11 5	All right. So you were the last chairman of the
6	board.
7	All right. Did you have any of operating
8	responsibilities at Dream Center?
9	MR. BARTON: No, sir. I was involved some
15:57:26 10	with some of the fundraising and advancement work. That
11	would have been my only operational activities.
12	THE COURT: All right. Well, do you know who
13	at Dream Center was responsible for certifying to the
14	Department of Education that student stipends had been paid?
15:57:46 15	MR. BARTON: Our CFO was Chad Garret, and our
16	chief
17	THE COURT: What is his name?
18	MR. BARTON: Chad Garret.
19	THE COURT: Chad Garret?
15:57:57 20	MR. BARTON: Yes.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MR. BARTON: And our chief operating officer
23	was John Crowley.
24	And then there would have been a number of others in
15:58:07 25	the financial aid areas who would have probably been doing

1	the actual certifications, but I would not know who those
2	would be.
3	THE COURT: All right. When did Mr. Garret
4	leave the employ of Dream Centers?
15:58:30 5	MR. BARTON: He resigned on January 14th.
6	THE COURT: And what about Mr. Crowley?
7	MR. BARTON: He resigned on January 11th.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. BARTON: Your Honors, I'd like to clarify
15:59:02 10	one point on the credit balances.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MR. BARTON: I think there's a little bit of
13	misunderstanding, although I'm not an expert in this.
14	The credit balances would include things other than
15:59:13 15	the stipends. Credit balances could include scholarships.
16	Credit balances could include return of unearned tuition
17	because a student dropped a class, which would not the
18	equivalent of a stipend.
19	So the broad assumption that all of these are stipends
15:59:32 20	would although, it would be due on a student's account,
21	they're not all and there could be other sources of
22	scholarships and monies that would be posted on the student
23	account. So I just wanted to clarify that for the record.
24	THE COURT: Well, the scholarship money
15:59:52 25	wouldn't go to the student, would it?

1	MR. BARTON: Yes. It could, yes. It could go
2	to the student, yeah, for a credit on their account.
3	THE COURT: Well, but it would be a credit
4	against the tuition. I mean, if the tuition was \$10,000,
16:00:06 5	and a student received a \$5,000 scholarship, some of the
6	\$5,000 wouldn't go to the student, would it?
7	MR. BARTON: Some of students would have
8	received stipends that would have been scholarship-type
9	stipends, not just against tuition.
16:00:25 10	Again, I'm not an expert, but I as you I just
11	wanted to set the record straight that it's not all strictly
12	stipends involved in the credits on the student's account.
13	THE COURT: But the Department of Education
14	doesn't pay scholarships, do they?
16:00:41 15	MR. BARTON: No. It would have been monies
16	that was due on these student accounts for things other than
17	their federal Title IV draws for stipends.
18	THE COURT: Well, we're only concerned about
19	the school, your schools, Dream Center
16:00:53 20	MR. BARTON: Right.
21	THE COURT: was required to certify to the
22	Department of Education that they had paid student stipends
23	for living expenses. And once they made that certification,
24	they received the money from the Department of Education.
16:01:10 25	And the accountants for Mr. Dottore have determined

1 that, roughly, \$16 million that Dream Centers certified when 2 you were chairman, those certifications were false. 3 MR. BARTON: I understand that, sir. THE COURT: 15 million came in. So this has 4 nothing to do with the school wants to give a scholarship to 16:01:33 5 students. It has nothing to do with that. 6 7 The return of unearned tuition, again, that money 8 wouldn't go to a student, would it? 9 MR. BARTON: Some of it would be credited for the student's ledger for their account, which would have 16:01:49 10 11 been included in that 16 million, is my understanding. But, 12 again --13 MR. DOTTORE: Your Honor, I think what he's 14 trying to say is that you get a credit. It's not actual 16:01:58 15 cash. You get a credit, like a number, that would look like 16 a stipend, but it was really just credit from either -- what 17 I've learned, Your Honor, is some of these people are 18 employed. 19 These are mostly older students. They're not your 16:02:12 20 traditional right-out-of-high-school students, for the most 21 part. So they work for an employer -- and I forget the 22 exact name of the one particular -- we'll just use Chevron, 23 for example.

They've worked for Chevron, and Chevron would give a

credit for them, or pay part of their tuition.

2.4

16:02:27 25

1	THE COURT: So an employer gives say you
2	got a higher education program. Employees have that. They
3	say, All right. We'll pay 50 percent of your tuition. Or
4	find them out. Okay.
16:02:41 5	MR. DOTTORE: That's correct, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So that would go. But,
7	again, the Department of Education doesn't care about that.
8	It's not their money.
9	MR. DOTTORE: No.
16:02:49 10	THE COURT: All right. So that might
11	obviously, that if it runs through the account, it would
12	show on the student's account.
13	I'm just worried about the stipends that are paid by
14	the Department of Education on funds that the student has
16:03:01 15	borrowed from the Department of Education.
16	MR. BARTON: Right.
17	THE COURT: So all right.
18	Mr. Barton, were you aware of any of this?
19	MR. BARTON: No, sir.
16:03:14 20	THE COURT: Have you asked have you tried
21	to find out how this happened under your watch?
22	Have you talked to anyone?
23	Have you asked anyone?
24	MR. BARTON: I've talked to our CFO, our
16:03:26 25	former CFO a little bit, and I got a little explanation that

1	there were a number of different types of funds that were
2	being returned to the student accounts. But I've not done
3	any independent investigation on it.
4	THE COURT: Well, did you ask Mr. Garret or
16:03:40 5	Mr. Crowley, you know, Why did you or someone certify to the
6	Department of Education that stipends had been paid when
7	they hadn't?
8	MR. BARTON: I've not talked with them about
9	that, sir.
16:03:52 10	THE COURT: Or have you asked them what
11	happened to the money, where it went?
12	MR. BARTON: If there were any deficits, the
13	money would have gone into the operation of the campuses.
14	MR. DOTTORE: Mr. Barton was not I'm sorry,
16:04:06 15	Your Honor.
16	Mr. Barton was not responsible for that particular
17	item. It was the chancellor of the school, Cynthia Baum,
18	and two other people in the what we call or the
19	compliance department. I forget the exact name. They were
16:04:18 20	the ones that saw it and signed off on it and sent them up
21	to the department for review.
22	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I'm sorry to interrupt,
23	again. Rob Glickman.
24	I'm not far enough along into this investigation to
16:04:32 25	say that that say who did what and who was at fault and

1 what anyone's mental state was at the time. I'm not there. 2 We haven't done discovery. Nobody has been under oath. I 3 know what it looks like. I just don't want to give the 4 Court the impression we've reached any type of final determination. 16:04:52 5 THE COURT: No one has reached any final 6 7 determination. I'm just asking questions. And I -- and I'm 8 asking questions because at least for the last two months, 9 this has all been under my watch. And, candidly, there were a whole lot of things that occurred shortly before the 16:05:08 10 11 receivership that the Court was not apprised of, and I would 12 have wanted to know. And there may be some things going on. 13 I certainly wasn't aware that all of these people were gone. 14 So I'm asking some questions. 16:05:24 15 All right. I guess, Mr. Barton, I accept the fact you 16 don't have any good answers, but that's the way it is. You 17 were the chairman. 18 I think we've got Allison Edgerton here? Maybe 19 Ms. Edgerton can share some light. 16:05:49 20 MS. EDGERTON: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Edgerton, you were 22 formerly employed in the -- you were the compliance officer? 23 MS. EDGERTON: No, sir. I oversaw student 2.4 accounting. 16:06:00 25 THE COURT: You oversaw student accounting.

MS. EDGERTON: 14-and-a-half years.

MS. EDGERTON: Not in that capacity.

THE COURT: Okay.

22

23

2.4

16:07:02 25

years? 14 years?

1 But . . . 2 THE COURT: All right. When did you become assistant VP of student accounting? 3 MS. EDGERTON: October of 2018. 4 THE COURT: All right. Can you shed some 16:07:17 5 light as to how things worked with the certification of 6 7 student stipends, say, starting from October of 2018? 8 So that October, November, December, January. 9 MS. EDGERTON: Can you ask the question again? I didn't hear you. 16:07:39 10 11 THE COURT: Is it correct that starting in October of 2018, DCEH was required to certify to the 12 13 Department of Education that a student stipend had been 14 paid, and then after the certification, the Department of 16:07:58 15 Education would send the money to DCEH? 16 MS. EDGERTON: Yes. What Mike Frola had said 17 on the phone was true, that we would self-certify through 18 our draw process, yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, starting in -- what 16:08:14 20 was your job -- starting in October of 2018, what was your 21 responsibility in certifying or overseeing those 22 certifications? MS. EDGERTON: I oversaw the department that 23 24 performed the draw process through G5. 16:08:33 25 THE COURT: All right. Well, were the

1	certifications accurate?
2	MS. EDGERTON: To my knowledge. Again, I
3	oversaw that department. But, yes, I would imagine that
4	they were.
16:08:52 5	THE COURT: Well, you just heard that they
6	weren't.
7	Is this a surprise to you?
8	And, in fact
9	MS. EDGERTON: Yes.
16:08:59 10	THE COURT: you were just you've been
11	working up until March 1st.
12	I mean, was it a surprise to you that
13	MS. EDGERTON: Of course. I have no knowledge
14	into what Mr. Linscott is talking about.
16:09:10 15	THE COURT: Well, who were the people who were
16	doing it under your direction?
17	MS. EDGERTON: He's talking about stipends. I
18	mean, I can
19	THE COURT: Well, you said you oversaw the
16:09:29 20	department that did the drawing and did the certification
21	and got the stipends. All right?
22	You didn't I gather you didn't do the
23	certifications yourself?
24	Someone did it under your oversight?
16:09:41 25	MS. EDGERTON: Correct. That's the draw team.

We also have a student stipend team that actually posts 1 2 stipends. So . . . 3 THE COURT: Well, ma'am, did you check to see 4 when those certifications were made, if the money had actually been paid to the students? 16:09:56 5 Did you ever check? 6 7 MS. EDGERTON: Me, personally? No. No, I 8 didn't. I had faith that my department was doing what they 9 were instructed to do. THE COURT: And what? Did you give them the 16:10:07 10 11 instructions that they were not to certify something to the 12 Department of Education unless -- until they had verified 13 that the money had been paid? 14 MS. EDGERTON: I don't think I understand your 16:10:24 15 question. 16 THE COURT: Well, why don't you explain to me 17 how you did your job, how you did your oversight. Let's 18 start that way. 19 MS. EDGERTON: So I -- we also had some 16:10:45 20 transition in our process, that we went from HCM1, which was 21 through the end of December, into a different process at the 22 beginning of January, where the department was asking us for 23 different requirements in different --2.4 THE COURT: I understand. 16:11:03 25 But under -- even without HCM1, all right, did the

1 process work -- you -- your school certified the Department 2 of Education, We've paid the stipends, and then you got the 3 money, right? 4 That's how the process worked, starting in October, correct? 16:11:24 5 6 MS. EDGERTON: Correct. 7 THE COURT: All right. Just explain to me 8 what you did on a day-to-day basis to determine if that was 9 happening, or that, in your belief, you believed it was happening. 16:11:39 10 11 So what did you do to make sure it was happening? 12 MS. EDGERTON: I didn't follow up with my 13 department, you're right. 14 THE COURT: You didn't follow up at all? 16:11:56 15 Who told you that it was happening correctly? 16 MS. EDGERTON: My fiscal operations team did, 17 through our reporting process. And we follow policies and 18 procedures to maintain that our restricted cash, we follow 19 up by requesting reports from BankMobile. 16:12:16 20 We get daily reports from our third-party vendor, which is BankMobile. We used our BankMobile reports to 21 22 determine that the stipend had been paid; not just paid to 23 the students' account, but had been issued to the students. 2.4 And that is the reporting process that we used to

ensure that the student stipend had been issued to the

16:12:38 25

1	student. And if it had been issued to the student, cleared
2	through their ACH account by check after it had been issued
3	to the student, then that's how we ensure that, after it had
4	been issued to the student, then that student's disbursement
16:12:58 5	was cleared to draw through G5.
6	Having confidence in that process, then, that's how
7	I had confidence that the process was being carried out
8	accurately.
9	THE COURT: Did you ever look at the
16:13:15 10	BankMobile third-party vendor reports?
11	MS. EDGERTON: From time to time, yes.
12	THE COURT: Did you ever compare a third-party
13	BankMobile report to the certifications that your people
14	were making to the DOE?
16:13:35 15	MS. EDGERTON: Again, like I said, they're
16	self-certifying. It's not an actual certification that they
17	make. When they request the draw through G5, it's a
18	self-certification.
19	THE COURT: Well, a self-certification means
16:13:51 20	that well, who signed the certification on behalf of DOE?
21	MS. EDGERTON: They don't sign the
22	certification. It's something that they click online.
23	THE COURT: You mean, you
24	MS. EDGERTON: I'm sorry. I don't have
16:14:08 25	detailed knowledge of this. Is this something should

1	Т ——
2	THE COURT: Well, I don't know, ma'am.
3	MS. EDGERTON: I feel like I'm being
4	interrogated here.
16:14:13 5	THE COURT: Well, you don't have to answer any
6	questions. But it appears it appears that under your
7	watch, \$16 million of student stipend money is gone.
8	MS. EDGERTON: I don't believe that it was.
9	THE COURT: Oh, good.
16:14:31 10	Well, where do you think it went?
11	So you think that that the money is there?
12	It doesn't seem to be in the student stipend accounts,
13	and the receiver hasn't found it.
14	Where do you think it is?
16:14:48 15	MS. EDGERTON: We didn't request the stipends.
16	THE COURT: So you didn't so the Department
17	of Education just sent it for nothing?
18	DCEH didn't request the stipends?
19	MS. EDGERTON: They did not request the
16:15:08 20	stipends from the department. No, they did not.
21	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Frola, did you determine
22	why the Department of Education sent the money?
23	Didn't someone ask
24	MR. FROLA: They self-certify, as we all
16:15:33 25	agree, that they draw down a certain amount of money. And

as we talked about earlier, some portion of that is attributed to the student as a stipend, if they borrow above the cost of their education for tuition.

That money, as far as we know, was not paid. And that's what they attest to.

THE COURT: Well, ma'am, you've described this self-certification. You click something online. So someone in your department clicked or, you know, went through the program and said, We have paid the stipends. Give us the reimbursement.

And that's how the money came, right?

MS. EDGERTON: Correct. After the money comes into the bank account, I don't have access to the bank accounts. I do not know what happens to the money after it comes into our bank accounts.

THE COURT: Right. That isn't the problem.

The problem is on the first end, that the money wasn't paid to the students in the first place. You weren't allowed to get the money from DOE until you had certified you had paid it to the students.

I'm trying to find out who was in charge of looking at the BankMobile reports to say, All right. I see that students Polster and Parker got their stipends, click. We got to get it back from DOE.

Who was supposed to look at the BankMobile reports to

16:16:12 10

16:15:50 5

12

11

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

13 14

16:16:25 15

16

17

18

19

16:16:39 20

22

2.4

16:17:00 25

1	verify that?
2	MS. EDGERTON: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Well, who was supposed to do that?
4	MS. EDGERTON: My fiscal team.
16:17:15 5	THE COURT: All right. If they didn't do it,
6	do you have any idea why they didn't do it?
7	MS. EDGERTON: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: Am I correct that you did not
9	instruct them not to do it?
16:17:37 10	MS. EDGERTON: No, sir.
11	MR. GURBST: Your Honor, Richard Gurbst.
12	May I be heard?
13	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Gurbst.
14	MR. GURBST: I know you don't mean for it to
16:17:46 15	be an interrogation, but it's sounding that way. I don't
16	think it's the time or place.
17	And it's certainly believed that a person should have
18	a lawyer, if that's where we're going here, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Well, I'm just that's fine.
16:17:58 20	I've asked my questions. And I'm I was particularly
21	asking them because Ms. Edgerton continued to work up until
22	last week, all right, so it surprised me.
23	I sort of somehow, I thought you had left with all
24	the others in early January.
16:18:25 25	My last question is, are any of these people, your

1	fiscal team, ma'am, are they still there at DCEH, or are
2	they all gone?
3	MS. EDGERTON: No, they're still there.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I would
16:18:53 5	strongly suggest that oh, Mr. Linscott.
6	Mr. Linscott, I suggest that you talk to these
7	people they're still there and ask them what happened.
8	All right?
9	I mean, I I'm sort of surprised. I figured all
16:19:32 10	these people had gone, weren't there, but they're still
11	working, and they're still getting paid.
12	And, quite frankly, if they're working there and
13	getting paid under my supervision and Judge Parker's
14	supervision. And if you think that they did something wrong
16:19:56 15	before, I want to make sure they're not doing something
16	wrong now.
17	MR. LINSCOTT: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Because that's on my watch. So
19	that's an order, to figure out what talk to them, and ask
16:20:08 20	them some poignant questions.
21	(Discussion held off the record.)
22	THE COURT: Well, good point.
23	Why don't you
24	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right.
16:20:31 25	For Ms. Edgerton and you can answer from wherever

	1	you went off to.
	2	Ma'am, you've indicated that in response to
	3	Judge Polster's questions, that there was, under your
	4	management, something you described as a draw team, a
16:20:45	5	student stipend team, and then a fiscal ops team.
	6	Are the draw and student stipend teams both subset of
	7	fiscal ops?
	8	MS. EDGERTON: No. The draw team and the
	9	fiscal ops team are the same team.
16:20:57 1	. 0	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. So it's
1	.1	the same team.
1	.2	And then student stipend, is that a separate group of
1	.3	people?
1	. 4	MS. EDGERTON: It is.
16:21:00 1	.5	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Were they also under
1	. 6	your supervision?
1	.7	MS. EDGERTON: Yes.
1	. 8	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: And the individuals
1	. 9	who are part of the student stipend team, to the best of
16:21:10 2	20	your knowledge, are they still employed?
2	21	MS. EDGERTON: Three of them.
2	22	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Three of them. All
2	23	right.
2	2.4	Now, Ms. Whitmer, the other day, in the hearing we had
16:21:21 2	25	Friday, you described a process by which you and/or the

1 receiver's counsel had come to some conclusions about the 2 alteration of financial records. Have you spoken to these individuals that Ms. Edgerton 3 has referred to? 4 MS. WHITMER: No, I had not. 16:21:34 5 6 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Do you have the 7 names of those people? 8 Who is on the student stipend team, for example, or 9 the fiscal ops team? MS. WHITMER: No, Your Honor. We have not 16:21:45 10 11 completed a detailed investigation. 12 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. You were 13 kind enough to provide the Court with one sample of one 14 student's account that showed monies being credited, credits 16:21:59 15 being backed out, payments being applied, payments being backed out and so forth. 16 17 That was an example, as I took it, of information that 18 you had uncovered of this so-called alteration of records, 19 correct? 16:22:14 20 MS. WHITMER: Yes. The student sent -- the 21 individual student -- the name was redacted -- the student 22 sent that to me. And I saw the credit account, and then the 23 bringing of that credit account balance to zero. And then 2.4 the voiding of that entry because the student had not -- I

thought because the student had not received a stipend.

16:22:37 25

1	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: The question I had
2	is, you presented to the Court as an example of what you had
3	reason to question concerning the handling of funds?
4	MS. WHITMER: I presented it to the Court
16:22:50 5	because after I had listened to what the Department of
6	Education had told me, and after I listened to what was said
7	in the conference of February 20th, it was a corroboration
8	of what I understood the situation to be.
9	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right.
16:23:09 10	So at least now we know from Ms. Edgerton, who the
11	categories of people are to speak to to get further
12	clarification of this handling of funds.
13	And most precisely, how it was that the whether it
14	was a self-certification or otherwise, how it was that the
16:23:26 15	DCEH represented to the United States Government that it was
16	entitled to receive Government funds. That's what we want
17	to know.
18	MS. WHITMER: Yes. I didn't understand the
19	self-certification piece. I thought they were actually
16:23:37 20	certifying by sending electronic documents. Because
21	I that's how I understood it. But
22	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. Thank
23	you.
24	Thank you, ma'am.
16:23:44 25	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

1 All right. I think Judge Parker and I have asked the questions we need to answer, and we have the information we 2 3 need. There are a whole lot of folks here who have been 4 absorbing this. Some of you have spoken. Some of you 16:24:23 5 6 haven't. I certainly don't want everyone here to speak. 7 But if there's anyone here who thinks they have 8 something -- something important to contribute on what we've 9 asked, I would certainly entertain you to speak. MR. STAVOLE: Your Honor, Bill Stavole on 16:24:51 10 behalf of 3601 Sunflower, LLC. We're one of the landlords 11 out in California. 12 13 I know you spoke earlier about the landlords who 14 weren't getting paid, and that that was going to end. 16:25:07 15 All of the schools have been closed, except two, that 16 were in the receivership. I just want to get clarification 17 that as of today, those premises are surrendered to the 18 landlords, and the stay is lifted as to those landlords so 19 that we can get our premises back. 16:25:20 20 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Which school is 21 yours? 22 THE COURT: Which school is this, sir? 23 MR. STAVOLE: This is one out -- it's in 2.4 Orange County. It's an Argosy University school. Which --16:25:28 25 THE COURT: Yeah. My only concern is, if

1	there are student records in there, sir
2	MR. STAVOLE: Correct.
3	THE COURT: those need to be preserved.
4	MR. STAVOLE: Correct.
16:25:36 5	THE COURT: Because for obvious reasons.
6	Yeah, those properties have been surrendered.
7	MR. STAVOLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: I mean, Mr. Dottore, Mr. Glickman,
9	I mean, I want to make sure that student records are
16:25:47 10	preserved and transmitted to the receiver.
11	Is there any other important property there?
12	MR. GLICKMAN: Yeah. In some instances, for
13	example, as Mr. Dottore described, at the school where
14	nursing was being taught, there are assets that we're using
16:26:04 15	to gift to another university so they'll teach-out those
16	nursing students.
17	THE COURT: Well, you need to make
18	arrangements to immediately you know it's not fair.
19	These landlords haven't been paid.
16:26:16 20	Now, they're not going to be paid because their
21	schools aren't there, and they should be able to rent that
22	property to someone else.
23	MR. GLICKMAN: You've identified the most
24	important issue, however. It's the student records issue.
16:26:29 25	We need to be able to access the site so we can at least get

the students' records and preserve them. 1 2 THE COURT: Judge Parker is pointing out that 3 most of these records should be online, but maybe they 4 weren't. So they need to be preserved. MS. WHITMER: Some of the records go back 16:26:48 5 years. So -- and they are very voluminous. 6 7 In some cases, the state regulators will take them, 8 and we don't have to, you know, secure them --9 THE COURT: The point is, I don't want them destroyed or lost. 16:27:00 10 11 MS. WHITMER: We'll shake a leg, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: So I'd say to any landlord, you've 13 got to preserve student records, and in a safe place, until the receiver gets them. And if they're there -- I guess 14 16:27:16 15 Mr. Dottore, Ms. Whitmer, you know where there are valuable assets somewhere else, you can talk to those landlords and 16 17 get that done. 18 MR. DOTTORE: Right. Some of the assets, Your 19 Honor, aren't owned by the receivership. They're owned by 16:27:30 20 others. Some are owned by the South entities; some are 21 owned by the AI entities. But Mr. Altorelli and I have been 22 working together to give -- to either give the assets back. 23 But in the end, we're going to have to sort out who 24 owns what assets. But I will get them out of the building. 16:27:44 25 THE COURT: The main thing is to get them out

1	of the building so the landlord can rent the premises
2	somewhere else. They are already out a lot of money.
3	MR. DOTTORE: I've already hired a company
4	THE COURT: And that bothers me because that
16:27:54 5	was on my watch. I'm making sure that that's over.
6	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, we've entered into
7	several agreed orders with landlords that are on the docket
8	pending the Court approval that resolves the issue, at least
9	for those landlords. It gives us a certain amount of time
16:28:09 10	to come get the information.
11	THE COURT: Well, if you get those to me,
12	we'll and any agreements you have, Mr. Glickman, we'll
13	enter immediately. All right? We'll enter those
14	immediately.
16:28:17 15	MR. STAVOLE: And, Your Honor, on behalf of
16	Sunflower, there is no agreement in place just yet. There
17	is one being negotiated, but it is not in place yet.
18	And all we're asking is that if the Court indicates
19	that the premises are surrendered as of today, it allows the
16:28:30 20	landlord to regain their space.
21	THE COURT: All right. The premises are
22	surrendered.
23	But, again, if there's something of value, you can't
24	just you know, got to preserve that, sir.
16:28:38 25	MR. STAVOLE: I understand. All right.

1 Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, Eric Rothschild 4 on behalf of the Dunagan plaintiffs. I want to -- I'm still uncertain about what the scope 16:28:47 5 of the receivership will be going forward. I understand 6 7 there's this important issue of the platform, but it's not 8 clear to me whether other responsibilities that DCH has, and 9 now, the receiver has, will be undertaken by this receiver. And there are two issues: One that we've spent a lot 16:29:04 10 11 of time on, the stipends, and another that we spent less time on, the consent judgment, which I think are being 12 13 treated by the receiver as if they are part of the normal 14 collection of assets. But these are actually obligations 16:29:22 15 which are exigent for the students who are trying to complete their education. So the stipends, we're very 16 17 familiar with. 18 The consent judgment involves an obligation that DCEH 19 has to students, like my clients, to remedy having been 16:29:39 20 misled about their education, that it was unaccredited, and 21 they need that remedy to complete their education, having 22 been cheated out of it. 23 And what we're hearing about, the stipends, which is 24 not --16:29:56 25 THE COURT: Let's slow -- I mean, I

1 don't -- there isn't anything this receiver can do about 2 some misrepresentation, sir, that happened in the past about 3 accreditation. MR. ROTHSCHILD: Well, the receiver, or DCEH, 4 which the receiver now is, has an obligation under a consent 16:30:09 5 judgment with States Attorneys' Generals to remedy that. 6 7 And so that's -- that is an existing and immediate 8 obligation to these students, which is necessary for them to 9 continue their education. And I do feel like --16:30:25 10 11 THE COURT: I don't understand. I mean, these 12 schools are closed. There are only a handful that are open.

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

16:31:18 25

16:30:42 15

16:30:58 20

MR. ROTHSCHILD: So, for example -- so, for example, one -- and it wasn't done pursuant to the consent judgment, but the DCEH promised those students who schools were closed -- and this was actually -- they were closed before the receivership -- DCEH promised that they would pay them a tuition grant of \$5,000. And one of our clients is actually waiting for that money.

That's not materially -- the stipend is a very unusual issue, because it seems like funds were actually diverted.

But this is something that students were promised to continue their education after the misrepresentation about accreditation was exposed and the schools were closed.

And, again, with both that obligation and the stipend

16:32:42 25

16:32:10 15

16:32:25 20

16:31:36 5

16:31:50 10

obligation, what I'm hearing from the receiver is, you know, We will get to that in good time.

And there's actually -- we've heard things from the receiver that -- about what they've learned about the stipend issue, which I feel like are not being run to ground.

We heard in the last hearing that on February 20th, they talked to employees who were involved in the altering of the students' accounts, and they explained what happened. And yet, we have not heard here, in this Court, what happened or who directed it.

And I realize we can get to all of that in good time, but these are issues that for students affected, are exigent. The money that was diverted from them is money they need to continue --

THE COURT: Sir, that's apparent. And it's not for me to trace where it went.

I'm assuming it went into operations. And, you know, there was obviously far more money -- the burn rate for these operations was far more than they were bringing in.

And that's why we either needed a receiver or bankruptcy.

MR. ROTHSCHILD: Well, Your Honor that's what's been stated, are operational expenses. And that may be true. But this money should have come into segregated, identified accounts.

1 THE COURT: Well, it didn't. All right? 2 know that. It didn't. 3 So I don't --MR. ROTHSCHILD: Well, I don't think that's 4 been represented, Your Honor, respectfully, that that money 16:32:46 5 should have been --6 7 THE COURT: It would still be there, and the 8 receiver would be able to pay the -- you know, restore the 9 stipend. 16:32:56 10 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Or the money came into there 11 and then went out. And if there are these segregated 12 accounts, then tracing where that money went is actually not 13 that difficult. 14 So either the answer is, there were no segregated 16:33:09 15 accounts, and we should know that or --16 THE COURT: All right. Sir, I don't really 17 understand the import of what you're trying to ask me to do. 18 MR. ROTHSCHILD: I think the issue, Your 19 Honors, is whether this receiver is going to continue with 16:33:22 20 responsibility over these issues. 21 THE COURT: Well, I don't even know what these 22 issues for the consent judgments are. I wasn't apprised of 23 any of this when the receivership was created. And, quite 24 frankly, there isn't a lot I can do about it, that I can 16:33:36 25 see.

1	So what specifically are you suggesting that I
2	direct or Judge Parker and I direct the receiver to do?
3	MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, what I believe
4	I've heard today is that the receivership was going to
16:33:45 5	continue for a reason that wasn't even presented to the
6	Court when it started, which is, this understandably
7	important computer platform.
8	And I'm uncomfortable, given what has transpired
9	this consent judgment being a good example that the
16:34:00 10	receiver had agreed with the states to let any court that he
11	applied to for receivership to know about, but didn't. So
12	we had to do that, and now the settlement administrator for
13	the consent judgment has come in.
14	So these are the types of issues that are right now
16:34:17 15	under the stewardship of the receiver that we don't feel
16	like the receiver is attending to appropriately.
17	THE COURT: All right. Sir, with all due
18	respect, it's just a lot of words.
19	Can you succinctly say what it is you want me to
16:34:29 20	direct the receiver to do?
21	If I can, I'll consider it. If you can't, then let it
22	go.
23	MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, one of the
24	issues the issue that brought us together today was
16:34:38 25	whether the receiver should continue to administer

1 THE COURT: I've got no choice. I've got to 2 continue the receiver. We understand why, because if I 3 don't, then another 15,000 students are going to be in a 4 huge mess, and who knows how many millions of dollars of public funds will be lost. 16:34:52 5 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Well, I will sit down after 6 7 this. 8 But I'm not sure why this receiver, with his 9 complement of colleagues, is different or better than Studio, who has agreed they would take it over for 2 16:35:02 10 11 million, or a bankruptcy trustee. 12 I'm not understanding the reason why that is going to 13 keep this receiver in office for six months, while these 14 other issues are, you know, we feel, not being appropriately 16:35:19 15 addressed. 16 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. 17 Mr. Rothschild, you appeared in my Court on Friday. You and 18 I spoke at that point in time. You made it clear that you 19 represent students who are at a school that closed in 16:35:31 20 December, a pre-receivership. I understand that you have 21 criticisms of the receiver. 22 You have indicated to the Court that from your 23 perspective, the receiver has ongoing objections on the part 2.4 of DCEH to comply with these various state consent

16:35:48 25

judgments.

As was pointed out in Court Friday, when you were there, this receiver is only now compiling information on exactly what those obligations are.

Mr. Ehrman said to me Friday that he's digging into that topic. It's a complicated topic. There are representatives, Mr. Selby and Mr. Keating, here on behalf of one of the State Attorney General cases. Perhaps, they could comment.

But beyond saying in a generic fashion, that as long as the receiver is in command of DCEH, the receiver's obligated to comply with consent judgments, I don't know what more we can say to you.

You've indicated that one of your clients -- one of your four clients believes he's entitled to \$5,000 under a corrective action plan that you believe is the obligation of the receiver.

So our advice to you at this point is not to ask for an order of the Court, but to interact with the receiver to see about getting those funds, if funds are available.

But beyond that, you've got a noncertified class of people that you seek to represent and no other claims. So I question whether your clients have standing to even ask the Court to begin to enter those kinds of orders.

So I appreciate you being here. You're obviously an articulate representative for your clients. You're doing a

16:36:02 5

16:36:34 15

16:36:48 20

16:37:04 25

1 good job doing so. But it's pretty clear where the 2 direction of this hearing is going. And I should clarify that in the very motion for the 3 4 appointment of a receiver, it was represented to the Court that one of the problems the receiver had was dealing with 16:37:16 5 this combined administration of all these entities. 6 7 And when the Studio lawsuit got filed, it was further 8 represented to the Court that some of the difficulty in 9 dealing with Studio was the fact that there's this combined administration of these universities, the very thing we've 16:37:34 10 11 been talking about today. That's the only reason this 12 receivership is continuing on past today. 13 So thanks for being here. 14 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Thank you, Your Honor. 16:37:49 15 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Is there someone for 16 Intervenor Tom Perrelli that wishes to address the Court? 17 MR. SELBY: Yes, Your Honor. Ric Selby. 18 Your Honor, Ric Selby. 19 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: If you could, just 16:38:08 20 indicate what Perrelli's position is. And there have been 21 questions asked to the Court regarding this ongoing 22 obligation of the receiver on behalf of DCEH to comply with 23 terms of consent judgments. 2.4 We've not been furnished with consent judgments. We

don't know the detail of those obligations.

16:38:24 25

1 MR. SELBY: Your Honor, we filed a motion to 2 intervene several weeks ago, and the consent judgment is 3 attached to that as an exhibit. 4 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: All right. For one of the states? 16:38:36 5 MR. SELBY: No. For all of them. 6 So there was an action brought in Kentucky on behalf 7 8 of 40 different Attorney Generals dealing with the conduct 9 of Dream Center's predecessor. That resulted in a settlement on a consent judgment. Tom Perrelli was the 16:38:52 10 settlement administrator of that. 11 12 Under that settlement, there were ongoing obligations 13 regarding whoever was running and operating these schools to 14 not engage in fraudulent or misleading conduct with the 16:39:13 15 students. That was the subject of that original action. 16 As it relates to this particular matter, there are a 17 number of reasons why we at least wanted to have a seat at 18 the table. 19 With respect to schools that were going to continue to 16:39:32 20 operate, obviously, you know, they need to be under the same 21 rules as they were before this. 22 But as schools are being sold, and as they're being 23 shut down, their obligations under the consent judgment with 2.4 respect to schools that are sold, there are provisions that

under certain circumstances, predecessors are going to be

16:39:51 25

bound by this consent judgment.

And likewise, when schools are closed, there are communications that are made to the students. Under Department of Education rules, when a school closes, there are opportunities for students to apply to have their student loans forgiven. And there's a program to do that. Part of if these schools are closed, that that's being communicated.

I know Brian Hauck, who we've been dealing with, has been dealing with the receiver. Our understanding is that those communications are being made in the way -- and we don't have any current concerns with what is being communicated to those students.

However, there are -- prior to the receivership being put into place, there were two schools, the Art Institute of Illinois, and the Art Institute of Colorado, that had accreditation issues, and there were -- the students were not -- it was not communicated to the students that the accreditation has ended.

As a result of that, there was a procedure under the settlement -- the consent judgment with DCEH, the entity in receivership, that when there were violations, that you would work out a remedy for that violation.

There were ongoing communications. The last communication from DCEH before the receiver was put into

16:40:30 10

16:40:12 5

16:40:46 15

16:41:08 20

16:41:29 25

1 place was that they were going to refund 3.07 million, I 2 believe it was, to those students as a result of those 3 accreditations. And that's an obligation of DCEH. 4 We've been communicating with the receiver regarding that. Obviously, we have an interest that those students 16:41:48 5 6 are paid. You know, we would argue that to the extent this 7 money came in through some type of fraudulent means, that it 8 should be held in constructive trust for these students. 9 But, in any event, how that gets paid out is probably a preliminary matter. But we do -- we've asserted that 16:42:10 10 11 interest. 12 And we also -- the stipend interest, to the extent 13 that communications were paid to student, that comes under 14 our purview. 16:42:22 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 16 Look, it should be abundantly clear to everyone that 17 there will be a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy when 18 this receivership ends. And so a lot of these issues are 19 going to have to be -- they'll be claimed in the bankruptcy 16:42:40 20 case. 21 MR. SELBY: We understand. We just wanted --22 THE COURT: But I think -- look, I want to

make sure if there are any ongoing obligations in a consent

decree that apply to an ongoing university, that needs to be

complied with, and the receiver will do it.

23

2.4

16:42:55 25

1	If this is dealing with something that happened in the
2	past, or the school is closed, there isn't much that can be
3	done at this point.
4	MR. SELBY: We understand that. And we've had
16:43:06 5	those communications with the receiver.
6	I think the indications we've gotten from everybody is
7	that they are communicating the appropriate things to those
8	successor schools and to the students. And, obviously, if
9	we hear differently but all of that is in our motion to
16:43:20 10	intervene, which I don't believe has been ruled on yet.
11	So I bring that to your attention.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. SELBY: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else?
16:43:38 15	MR. ALTORELLI: Yes, Your Honor.
16	Since it seems like we were the one that maybe
17	persuaded you to keep the receivership, I just want to point
18	out a couple of things that would helpful to you in that
19	process.
16:43:53 20	We had three separate deals that haven't been honored
21	since we began. We had a deal to acquire the AI institutes.
22	That didn't happen. We then, at the behest of the
23	parties
24	THE COURT: You got a settlement now. And I
16:44:09 25	want to address

1	MR. ALTORELLI: I just want to point out
2	THE COURT: I mean, I will address that. The
3	hearing is scheduled for a week from today.
4	MR. ALTORELLI: Yes.
16:44:17 5	I just want to point out, though, none of the
6	agreements that we've ever signed has been honored. So if
7	the settlement is not honored, we'll be back here, right,
8	and you'll, then, probably want to do a Chapter 11.
9	So I just want to be clear that we're ready, willing,
16:44:28 10	and able, but we can't control the other parties. So I was
11	just saying that
12	THE COURT: The agreement, it's with the
13	receiver. As long as the receiver is there, it's going to
14	be complied with.
16:44:38 15	MR. ALTORELLI: Okay.
16	THE COURT: That's how
17	MR. ALTORELLI: We'll reserve on that, then.
18	I hope so.
19	THE COURT: Well, I mean, that's the whole
16:44:43 20	point of this. And there have been a couple of objections
21	filed. The receiver will be responding to that. And I'll
22	have a hearing next Monday, and we'll rule on it.
23	MR. ALTORELLI: Fair enough.
24	MS. AWED: Your Honor, student intervenor. I
16:45:10 25	actually want to ask Michael Frola a question.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MS. AWED: In early January, the lawsuit
3	MR. JACOBSON: I'm sorry. This is
4	Jonathan Jacobson. I'm Mr. Frola's attorney in this
16:45:26 5	hearing, and I'm speaking on behalf of the United States.
6	Mr. Flora has been produced voluntarily here to you
7	know, to answer limited questions of the Court. To the
8	extent that an intervenor or a party to the litigation would
9	like to seek testimony from Mr. Flora as a nonparty to the
16:45:44 10	litigation, you would have to go through our Touhy
11	regulations.
12	THE COURT: Good luck with that. You'll
13	get a that's an editorial comment.
14	You'll get a good legal education to add to the good
16:45:58 15	one you're now getting.
16	MS. AWED: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: All right?
18	MS. AWED: And I wanted to clarify about the
19	two pay cycles.
16:46:03 20	When do they start and end? When does the month
21	THE COURT: Good question. I thought, ma'am,
22	that they're good point.
23	When the two pay cycles, when do they start?
24	MR. DOTTORE: One is coming up, and then
16:46:16 25	there's one two weeks from that.

1	MS. AWED: Can I get dates, please, because I
2	don't
3	THE COURT: Yeah.
4	MR. LINSCOTT: The next payday is this coming
16:46:25 5	Friday.
6	THE COURT: So it covers this Friday, and then
7	two weeks from this Friday?
8	Or is that that's only two weeks and three days.
9	That's a short four weeks. So
16:46:44 10	MR. LINSCOTT: So the payroll that's being
11	paid Friday for a pay period that ended last Friday. So two
12	pay cycles would be this Friday, and then two weeks from
13	this Friday.
14	MS. AWED: But the pay cycles are two weeks'
16:47:00 15	long at our school.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's what we've got. So
17	we got Friday, the 15th, that's this Friday, and Friday,
18	March 29th.
19	Are those the two pay cycles?
16:47:13 20	Well, Studio is going to be running the school. So
21	they you know, they're going to be running it. So it's
22	been represented, ma'am, that that will keep the schools
23	open through the end of the March, and that's sufficient for
24	everyone to graduate and get their credits.
16:47:30 25	MR. DOTTORE: Actually, Your Honor, if Studio

1 takes it, it would run -- continue --2 THE COURT: Well, understood, Mr. Dottore. 3 But in case they don't, I mean, the idea, and what was 4 important to me was, to make sure that these law students get their credits and -- at least for this year. 16:47:44 5 I know, you know --6 7 MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, if Mr. Altorelli will 8 confirm, we'll put a motion on the record, along with an 9 exhibit, asset purchase agreement, to convey the law school to Studio or its designee during the period of time these 16:47:59 10 11 pay cycles are going on. 12 THE COURT: All right. That will be very 13 good. We'll grant it. No one is going to object to that. 14 MS. AWED: I just have one last question 16:48:14 15 regarding the student stipends -- or, actually, a comment. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MS. AWED: You were saying that we would just 18 have to get our student stipends in the bankruptcy court? 19 Like, there's no chance of us getting them anymore? 16:48:27 20 We just have to wait for everything to --THE COURT: Ma'am, I don't -- the receiver 21 22 doesn't know what happened to the money. All right? 23 They may be able to find out by questioning some of 24 the people we've suggested they question. 16:48:42 25 MS. AWED: Okay.

1	THE COURT: If they have the money, they have
2	the money. But the money does not seem to be there. So
3	maybe you got to pursue it in bankruptcy.
4	MS. AWED: I thought that Ms. Whitmer said at
16:48:57 5	the Friday hearing, that there was 1.5 million of student
6	stipends available. I'm not sure if I remember correctly.
7	THE COURT: I think she said there's
8	1.5 million in the receiver's accounts.
9	Ms. Whitmer, Judge Parker asked if she knew whether
16:49:10 10	any of that was student stipend money, and I think she said
11	not. If it turns out that any of the money that the
12	receiver has is student stipend money, that money will go to
13	the students.
14	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PARKER: Well, they have to
16:49:26 15	make a decision on which of the students get
16	THE COURT: But they have to determine which
17	of the students. Or maybe do it on a pro rata basis.
18	MS. AWED: Well, there's not many left now.
19	MS. WHITMER: Your Honor, I
16:49:35 20	THE COURT: So I don't know the answer to any
21	of that.
22	MS. WHITMER: With regard to that million and
23	a half, I believe that it is subject to equitable tracing so
24	that and the rules of that are you know, they're in
16:49:49 25	the law.

1	One of the things that's interesting about the student
2	stipend money is that the department advanced it not as
3	stupid stipend money, but as a reimbursement. So that, you
4	know, I don't believe
16:50:03 5	THE COURT: Yeah. It was a reimbursement to
6	the school.
7	MS. WHITMER: Correct.
8	THE COURT: A representation that the school
9	had paid the money to the students.
16:50:11 10	MS. WHITMER: Correct.
11	THE COURT: So it's not technically student
12	stipend money. It was designed to go into the operating
13	account to reimburse the school for what it represented had
14	been paid to the students.
16:50:22 15	So legally, I don't know what so
16	MS. WHITMER: So that million and a half is
17	frozen, and until we determine who it should be paid to.
18	THE COURT: Right.
19	It's that's the correct answer, and that's the
16:50:37 20	correct response, ma'am, to your question.
21	MS. AWED: Okay. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: It's frozen
23	MS. AWED: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: upon further order of the
16:50:44 25	Court.

1	Okay. Well, again, I appreciate everyone appearing
2	today. We're going to continue the receivership. We made
3	certain changes to make sure that no premises will be
4	occupied without payment to the landlord. And so we've got
16:51:06 5	a up to 15 days for Art Institute
6	MS. WHITMER: Las Vegas.
7	THE COURT: Las Vegas. Right. LV. Thank
8	you.
9	Art Institute Las Vegas. To have some resolution of
16:51:28 10	Art Institute Las Vegas, and the Court will be advised. And
11	they'll be paying, roughly, the \$3,500-a-day rent. And
12	we'll get further advice on that.
13	We have worked things out law school is worked out.
14	And
16:51:58 15	MS. AWED: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I actually
16	have one more question.
17	THE COURT: Well, let me complete this.
18	Well, we've determined that South University is paying
19	the rent for is it Novi, Michigan, and Cleveland. So
16:52:21 20	that's taken care of.
21	MR. GLICKMAN: No. Judge, South University is
22	conducting the teach-outs at different facilities. So those
23	are
24	THE COURT: All right. So no rent is being
16:52:33 25	paid, right. So no rent is being paid, and those premises

1	are vacated. So the only premises the only premises
2	that's being occupied are Las Vegas, and we're taking care
3	of that with daily rent, and the law school, and that's
4	being covered.
16:52:48 5	And we're going to keep the receivership in place, not
6	indefinitely, but for a limited duration, and I'm going to
7	say not to exceed six months, for some transition of the
8	platform. Either a new one, or someone taking it over so
9	that those schools can continue to operate, and the students
16:53:16 10	aren't comprised.
11	Right. And I would like the I would like the
12	receiver to give the file with the Court a plan, detailed
13	plan for that transition, just so we know what's going to
14	happen over that period, and who is going to do what.
16:53:40 15	And, of course, we have the hearing next Monday, at
16	1:30, on the Studio motion to approve the Studio
17	agreement.
18	MR. DOTTORE: Is that with you, Your Honor, or
19	Judge Parker?
16:53:58 20	THE COURT: I think both of us. We make a
21	pretty good team. Judge Parker reminds me of all the things
22	that I've forgotten. So I need that.
23	Okay. Thank you for everyone's appearance, and the
24	hearing is adjourned.

Well, one short question.

16:54:15 25

1	MS. AWED: Marina Awed, student intervenor.
2	You said that they have to pay the landlords while
3	those schools continue to operate.
4	What about when the school has, like, backpay?
16:54:34 5	MS. WHITMER: I'm sorry. What about
6	MS. AWED: If the school
7	THE COURT: I'm not giving any orders with
8	back rent, ma'am. I can't worry about the past.
9	MS. AWED: Okay. I was just wondering.
16:54:43 10	Thank you.
11	THE COURT: My obligation is to make sure that
12	no landlord continues to provide facilities without getting
13	paid.
14	MS. AWED: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
16:54:51 15	THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned.
16	Thank you.
17	
18	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:54 p.m.)
19	CERTIFICATE
20	
21	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
22	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23	
24	/s/ Donnalee Cotone 14th of March, 2019 DONNALEE COTONE, RMR, CRR, CRC DATE
25	Realtime Systems Administrator